

1 ARCHER & GREINER, P.C.
2 1211 Avenue of the Americas
3 New York, New York 10036
4 Tel: (212) 682-4940
5 Allen G. Kadish¹
6 Harrison H.D. Breakstone²
7 Email: akadish@archerlaw.com
8 hbreakstone@archerlaw.com

9 *Counsel for Allen D. Applbaum as Receiver*

10
11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

13 United States Securities and Exchange
14 Commission,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 Jonathan Larmore, et al.,

18 Defendants, and

19 Michelle Larmore; Marcia Larmore;
20 CSL Investments, LLC;
21 MML Investments, LLC;
22 Spike Holdings, LLC;
23 and JMMAL Investments, LLC,

24 Relief Defendants.

Case No. CV-23-02470-PHX-DLR

**NOTICE OF FILING OF
ARCITERRA RECEIVER'S
EIGHTH STATUS REPORT**

25
26
27 ¹ Admitted *pro hac vice*.


28 ² Admitted *pro hac vice*.

1 Allen D. Applbaum, as receiver for ArciTerra Companies, LLC, and related entities,
2 by and through his counsel, Archer & Greiner, P.C., hereby files this *Notice of Filing of*
3 *ArciTerra Receiver's Eighth Status Report*, as follows:
4

5 1. Filed herewith, pursuant to paragraph 41 of the *Order Appointing Receiver,*
6 *Freezing Assets, and Imposing Litigation Injunction* [ECF No. 154], is the *ArciTerra*
7 *Receiver's Eighth Status Report*.
8

9 Dated: February 42, 2026"

ARCHER & GREINER, P.C.

10 By: 
11 _____

Allen G. Kadish¹

Harrison H.D. Breakstone²

12 1211 Avenue of the Americas

13 New York, New York 10036

14 Tel: (212) 682-4940

15 Email: akadish@archerlaw.com

hbreakstone@archerlaw.com

16 *Counsel for Allen D. Applbaum as Receiver*
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 231729865 v1
27
28



ArciTerra Receiver's Eighth Status Report

Case No. 2:23-cv-02470-PHX-DLR

United States District Court for the District of Arizona

February 20, 2026



RECEIVER'S EIGHTH STATUS REPORT

Allen D. Applbaum, in his capacity as Receiver (the "Receiver") of the ArciTerra Companies, LLC; ArciTerra Note Advisors II, LLC; ArciTerra Note Advisors III, LLC; ArciTerra Strategic Retail Advisors, LLC; and Cole Capital Funds, LLC, in United States Securities and Exchange Commission v. Jonathan Larmore, et al, Defendants, and Michelle Larmore; Marcia Larmore; CSL Investments, LLC; MML Investments, LLC; Spike Holdings, LLC; and JMMAL Investments, LLC, Relief Defendants (together the "Defendants"), pursuant to the *Order Appointing Temporary Receiver and Temporarily Freezing Assets and Imposing Litigation Injunction* [ECF No. 77], *Temporary Restraining Order* [ECF No. 78] and the *Order Appointing Receiver and Freezing Assets and Imposing Litigation Injunction* [ECF No. 154] (collectively the "Receivership Order") respectfully files his Eighth Status Report, covering the period from November 1, 2025 through January 31, 2026. The Receiver previously filed the following status reports (collectively the "Previous Status Reports"):

- First Status Report on June 7, 2024 [ECF No. 179] (the "First Status Report").
- Second Status Report on August 20, 2024 [ECF No. 205] (the "Second Status Report").
- Third Status Report on November 20, 2024 [ECF No. 269] (the "Third Status Report").
- Fourth Status Report on February 20, 2025 [ECF No. 311] (the "Fourth Status Report").
- Fifth Status Report on May 20, 2025 [ECF No. 363] (the "Fifth Status Report").
- Sixth Status Report on August 20, 2025 [ECF No. 424] (the "Sixth Status Report").
- Seventh Status Report on November 20, 2025 [ECF No. 441] (the "Seventh Status Report").

The purpose of the Eighth Status Report is to provide the Court with a report and accounting of Receivership Assets, as well as:

- A summary of the operations of the Receiver.
- The amount of cash on hand, the amount of administrative expenses, and the amount of unencumbered funds in the Receivership Estate.
- A schedule of the Receiver's receipts and disbursements.
- A description of known Receivership Assets.
- A description of liquidated and unliquidated claims against, and held by, the Receivership Estate and approximate valuations of claims.



- The Receiver’s recommendations for a continuation or discontinuation of the receivership and the reasons for the recommendations.
- A recommendation on whether to modify the list of Receivership Entities (those corporate entities listed in Exhibit A of ECF Nos. 77 and 154 are referred to as the “Receivership Entities” herein).
- Additional facts pertinent to the Receiver’s efforts to operate the ArciTerra Entities, and the efforts to make creditors, investors, stakeholders, and other victims whole.

This Eighth Status Report represents information for the period specified, and as of the date submitted. This Eighth Status Report draws no actionable conclusions beyond those, if any, as may expressly be stated herein. No direct relief is sought before the Court against anyone at this time. The Receiver intends to continue his activities and reserves all rights to amend or supplement the information set forth herein and to assert the rights of the Receivership as against any party, as may be appropriate.



Table of Contents

I. Background	6
A. Procedural Background	6
B. Executive Summary	7
i. The Receiver’s Actions Upon Appointment	7
ii. Management of ArciTerra Entities and Assets	8
iii. ArciTerra Entities	9
iv. Asset Disposition	9
v. Amounts Owed to Investors and Potential Sources of Recovery	10
vi. Claims Administration Process	12
II. Actions Taken by the Receiver During the Reporting Period	13
A. Website/Ongoing Communications	13
B. Litigation and Third-Party Claims	13
C. Unclaimed Funds	14
D. Receivership Operations	14
i. Management of Commercial Properties and Operating Businesses	14
ii. Cash Management	18
iii. Vendor Management	19
iv. Tax Return Filing Status	19
v. Commercial and Residential Property Operations	20
vi. Property Management	22
E. Record Preservation and Review	23
F. Investor Funds Analyses	23
i. Pervasive Commingling of Investor Funds	28
ii. Determination of Amounts Owed to Investors	31
iii. Claims Against Third Parties	32
III. Financial Status	35
A. Cash on Hand – Receivership Assets	35
B. Schedule of Receivership Estate Fund Receipts and Disbursements	35
C. Amount and Nature of Accrued Administrative Expenses	36



i.	Approved Fee Applications.....	36
ii.	Sixth Fee Application	37
iii.	Seventh Fee Application.....	37
iv.	Eighth Fee Application.....	37
IV.	Receivership Entities.....	38
V.	Receivership Assets	39
A.	Commercial and Residential Properties.....	39
i.	Commercial Properties.....	39
ii.	Residential Properties.....	45
B.	Other Assets	50
VI.	Claims	51
A.	Claims Processing and Administration.....	51
B.	Potential Liabilities to Creditors and Claims Against Parties	52
i.	American Express	52
ii.	Claim to Airplane Proceeds.....	52
iii.	Litigation Claims of the Receivership.....	52
VII.	Receiver’s Motions Pending Before the Court.....	53
VIII.	Future Actions and Recommendations	54



I. Background

A. Procedural Background

1. On December 21, 2023, Allen D. Applbaum was appointed Receiver in United States Securities and Exchange Commission v. Jonathan Larmore, et al. (No. 2:23-cv-02470-PHX-DLR) for the receivership estate of the Receivership Entities (the ArciTerra Funds, the Receivership Defendants, and the known and unknown Affiliates of the Receivership Defendants as defined in ECF Nos. 77 and 154) (the “Receivership Estate”), including the Receivership Assets. The Receivership Order authorizes the Receiver to:¹
 - a. Preserve the status quo to enable the Receiver to perform the duties specified hereunder.
 - b. Ascertain the financial condition of the Receivership Entities and Receivership Assets (as defined in the Receivership Order).
 - c. Oversee and manage, consistent with the relevant governing documents and applicable law, the Receivership Entities and Receivership Assets.
 - d. Prevent the encumbrance or disposal of the Receivership Assets contrary to the Receiver’s mandate.
 - e. Preserve the books, records, and documents of the Receivership Entities and Receivership Assets.
 - f. Manage litigation by and against the Receivership, the Receivership Entities, and the Receivership Assets.
 - g. Propose for Court approval a fair and equitable distribution of the remaining Receivership Assets.
 - h. Be available to respond to investor inquiries.
2. The Receiver, Allen D. Applbaum, is a Partner with StoneTurn Group, LLP (“StoneTurn”), and has more than 30 years of experience in litigation, investigations, business intelligence, corporate governance, receiverships, monitoring, and compliance. In connection with his management of high-profile investigations, Mr. Applbaum draws on his public and private sector experience to integrate investigative skills with technology and financial expertise to provide clients with seamless approaches to critical problems. Mr. Applbaum is a leading expert in independent monitorships and receiverships, providing oversight to the government, regulators, law enforcement and the judiciary. StoneTurn employs over 150 professionals who the Receiver can call upon for appropriate work.

¹ Receivership Order at ¶2.



3. The Receivership Order authorizes the Receiver to retain personnel and legal counsel, including personnel and professionals of StoneTurn and Archer & Greiner, P.C. (“Archer” or “Counsel”), to assist in carrying out his duties and responsibilities (“Receivership Team”).² StoneTurn’s team includes investigative, forensic accounting, real estate, forensic technology, data analytics, and corporate controller professionals. Archer’s team includes restructuring, tax, corporate, litigation, and real estate professionals. Since the appointment, at the direction of the Receiver, the Receivership Team has engaged in numerous tasks to fulfill its duties and responsibilities as authorized and directed by the Court.

B. Executive Summary

i. The Receiver’s Actions Upon Appointment

4. Following his appointment, the Receiver took immediate steps to assert control over the books, records, and accounts of ArciTerra and the Receivership Entities, and to oversee their accounting and cash management processes. As described in the Previous Status Reports, at the time of his appointment, the Receiver inherited a crumbling and neglected Receivership Estate, as Jonathan Larmore largely abandoned ArciTerra in approximately April 2023, if not earlier. Jonathan Larmore shut down ArciTerra’s office in Arizona and fired most of the employees, leaving the bulk of the management of ArciTerra to two remote consultants (Blaine Rice and Dan DeCarlo), one non-employee part-time bookkeeper, and one non-employee part-time office staff person at Fishermen’s Village in Punta Gorda, Florida.³ Jonathan Larmore officially resigned from his position as Manager of ArciTerra on September 1, 2023, and Messrs. Rice and DeCarlo left or stopped providing services to ArciTerra in October 2023 and December 2023, respectively.
5. Since his appointment, the Receiver took the necessary steps to secure and preserve the Receivership Entities’ information systems containing e-mails, electronic files, investor management, accounting systems, digital images of certain computers used by former ArciTerra employees, and incoming postal mail. The Receiver has taken action to preserve relevant, newly obtained ArciTerra records, including the digitization of records from off-site repositories.
6. In addition, the Receiver, with the assistance of the Receivership Team, including a professional serving as the Receiver’s Chief Financial Officer, asserted control over dozens of bank accounts and ensured

² Receivership Order at ¶44.

³ Deposition of Kathleen Bouet by the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 28, 2023, at page 101, lines 22 – 23.



that the appropriate signatories were installed, and others removed, as appropriate, and opened new bank accounts to facilitate financial oversight over the Receivership Entities.

7. The Receiver assumed more than 100 active litigation proceedings across the United States. These lawsuits include claims against ArciTerra, Jonathan Larmore and Receivership Entities, and seek monetary awards, foreclosure, and other damages, highlighting the fact that there are competing interests for the limited Receivership Estate. These matters generally are stayed, consistent with the Receivership Order.

ii. Management of ArciTerra Entities and Assets

8. The Receiver developed an operating model, processes, and procedures to manage the operations and assets of the Receivership Estate, which includes 285 ArciTerra-related entities and 40 commercial properties, 24 of which the Receiver sold or disposed of as of the date of this Eighth Status Report. Previously, the Receiver reported that the Receivership Estate includes at least 257 entities; however, following further analysis and review of records and documents, the Receivership team has identified 285 ArciTerra-related entities. The Receivership Team implemented financial and operational controls, as well as day-to-day business processes to support financial, risk management, and ongoing business operations.
9. In addition, the Receiver filed a motion to retain an accounting firm to prepare and file the necessary federal and state tax returns for the years 2022 and 2023. The Court approved the Motion to retain the accounting firm, SAX, LLP ("SAX") selected by the Receiver following a selection process where the Receiver considered proposals from three different accounting firms [ECF No. 264] to prepare federal and state tax returns and to perform other tax services.
10. As of January 31, 2026, after the closing of certain property sales as described below, the Receiver is actively managing 15 commercial properties, including:
 - a. Collecting delinquent and previously ignored rents, as well as current rents from over 90 tenants across 9 states.
 - b. Attending to tenants' concerns and those of city, county, and local governmental authorities.
 - c. Obtaining, monitoring, and renewing insurance coverage, including those properties where coverage had lapsed prior to the commencement of the Receivership.
 - d. Engaging with lenders and taxing authorities to address delinquencies and achieve forbearances or pauses and developing strategies for the maintenance or disposition of the properties.



11. The Receiver is currently in the process of evaluating 11 residential properties to determine the appropriate next steps.

iii. ArciTerra Entities

12. The Receiver continues to assess potential additional entities or assets in which the Defendants or the Relief Defendants have an interest which are not currently part of the Receivership Entities or Receivership Assets, and where assets may have been commingled with Investor Funds. As a result of his analysis, the Receiver filed a motion to designate 18 additional entities on April 10, 2025 [ECF No. 332] and a second motion to designate three additional entities on June 4, 2025 [ECF No. 372]. These two motions remain pending with the Court. Please see Section IV for further details on these motions and the Receivership Entities.

iv. Asset Disposition

13. Since the commencement of the Receivership, the Receiver has successfully completed the sale or disposition of 24 commercial properties through Court-approved processes.⁴ Of these, 17 properties were sold in 2024, generating total gross sale proceeds of \$73,220,929, satisfying \$48,228,850 in senior debt obligations, and resulting in over \$21,500,000 in net proceeds for the Receivership Estate.
14. In 2025, the Receiver successfully completed the sale of two commercial properties, generating \$3,550,000 in gross sale proceeds, satisfying \$2,227,363 in senior debt obligations, and yielding \$960,979 in net proceeds for the Receivership Estate after closing costs and other fees.
15. Additional properties were agreed to be abandoned consensually after negotiation between the Receiver and the mortgage lender upon Court approval. The Court issued its Order granting the relief sought by the Receiver subsequent to this reporting period, on February 9, 2026 [ECF No. 486].
16. Of the 24 properties sold or disposed of, 11 were part of a Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities ("CMBS")⁵ portfolio. The CMBS portfolio consisted of 12 properties, including the 11 under the Receiver's control and one under the control of the Indiana Receiver.⁶ While the Receiver was responsible for managing and disposing of 11 properties, the total sale price and satisfaction of senior

⁴ On December 15, 2024, the Receiver filed a Motion seeking approval for abandonment of four KS State Bank properties [ECF No. 452]. Subsequent to this reporting period, on February 9, 2026, the Court approved abandonment of the properties [ECF No. 486]. Closing is expected to follow.

⁵ Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities are investment products backed by commercial real estate loans, where the loan payments are pooled together and securitized into bonds sold to investors.

⁶ One property was managed by the Indiana Receiver in *Circle City Outdoors et al. v. ArciTerra Companies, LLC et al.*, pending in Hamilton County, Indiana, Superior Court as Cause No. 29D02-2305-PL-004542 (the "Indiana Receiver").



debt obligations outlined above include all 12 properties due to their cross-collateralization⁷ within the CMBS loan structure. These figures accurately reflect the overall disposition of the portfolio, as the collective sales contributed to the full satisfaction of the loan. However, closing costs and net proceeds are reported solely for the 11 properties under the Receiver's control. The disposition of this CMBS portfolio required close coordination with the Indiana Receiver, lender, and servicer to ensure a streamlined auction and sale process, with the goal of fully satisfying the loan. Fully satisfying CMBS loans in today's challenging commercial real estate environment underscores the Receiver's ability to navigate complex transactions to maximize value for the Receivership Estate.

17. The Receiver and Receivership Team continue to identify and locate assets, liabilities, creditors, and investors in the Receivership Assets, to protect the value of such assets, to ultimately address interests in, claims against and obligations of the Receivership Entities, where appropriate and in due course, and according to a plan to be presented to the Court at a later date.

v. Amounts Owed to Investors and Potential Sources of Recovery

18. As discussed in Previous Status Reports, the Receiver's analysis of the ArciTerra private investment vehicles through which capital was raised from third-party investors ("Investor Funds") demonstrated that ArciTerra, by design, used ArciTerra Strategic Retail Advisors, LLC ("ASRA") – an entity solely owned and controlled by Jonathan Larmore – as a central conduit and pass-through entity through which it consistently commingled investors' assets between Investor Funds, irrespective of their actual ownership structures.
19. Consequently, because of this pervasive commingling, untangling the transactions related to the Investor Funds has been extremely challenging, as is the determination of which cash flows should have been allocated to which Investor Fund. The Receiver believes that such analysis is unlikely to be able to be completed in a cost-beneficial manner. The Receiver will determine whether it would be appropriate to seek Court approval for consolidation, for purposes of distribution to creditors, investors, stakeholders, and other victims.
20. The Receiver's efforts to determine the amounts owed and available to distribute to investors are progressing despite the complications caused by ArciTerra's pervasive practice of cash commingling, and the Receiver continues to analyze many transactions to determine which entities, Investor Funds, and creditors the cash and/or assets belong to.

⁷ Cross-collateralization is a financing strategy where a borrower uses more than one asset as collateral for a single loan. This can also involve using an asset that is normally used as collateral for one loan to secure multiple loans at once.



21. The Receiver's ongoing analyses also seek to determine whether potential causes of action could be brought against various parties or claims to assets could be made from which the Receivership may realize additional recoveries for the benefit of creditors, investors, stakeholders, and other victims. To complete this analysis, however, the Receiver requires additional financial records.
22. The Receiver's analyses includes the compilation of Receivership Entities' financial records, including bank statements, credit card statements, and general ledger data related to approximately 50,000 transactions and involving approximately 1,000 counterparties. The Receiver's analysis also includes determining the net amount paid by and/or received from the counterparties, and the nature of the counterparties and assessing the economic substance of the transactions between the counterparties and the Receivership Entities.
23. Through these efforts, the Receiver has concluded that there is ample support for the proposition that, between 2006 and 2015, ArciTerra raised the aggregate amount of \$128,406,961 from third-party investors in connection with sixteen (16) Investor Funds. The Receiver's analysis of the books and records has also revealed that ArciTerra transferred substantial value out of the ArciTerra entities at the expense of creditors, investors, and other victims and that the Receivership Estate may have causes of action against third parties. Refer to the Receiver's Seventh Status Report at Section II.E for additional details.
24. From the books and records currently available, the Receiver cannot definitively establish the net amount paid by and/or received from Receivership Entity transaction counterparties because information related to an incoming transaction's sender of any deposits or incoming transactions, that are not wires. Accordingly, the Receiver has subpoenaed records regarding outgoing and incoming transactions from various financial institutions and others. To further his analysis, the Receiver requires this banking and other financial information from those individuals and entities that either received large amounts of money from Receivership Entities or had a high volume of transactions with Receivership Entities.
25. To that end, on December 31, 2025, the Receiver served a Notice of Subpoenas upon the SEC, the Defendants and Relief Defendants pursuant to Rule 45(a)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, informing them of his intent to serve subpoenas for the production of documents, including certain banks, broker/dealers and former employees. See Certificate of Service of Notice of Subpoenas [ECF No. 467].
26. On January 14, 2026, Relief Defendant Marcia Larmore filed, without sworn affidavit, the *Relief Defendant Marcia Larmore's Motion and Memorandum to Quash Subpoenas Directed at Marcia Larmore, Moynahan Investments LLC, Wawasee Family Investments Limited Partnership, Morrison*



Island, LLC, and HV Gardens, LLC [ECF No. 468]. On January 28, 2026, the Receiver filed the *Receiver's Objection to Relief Defendant Marcia Larmore's Motion and Memorandum to Quash Subpoenas*, with a supporting declaration and exhibits [ECF No. 476]. In the Receiver's objection, the Receiver asserted that Marcia Larmore, and entities under her control which the Receiver seeks to designate as Receivership Entities, are inextricably intertwined with the Receivership Estate. Marcia Larmore filed a Reply, again, without sworn affidavit, on February 18, 2026 [ECF No. 494].

27. Similarly, the Special Master appointed in the Larmore divorce proceeding deemed Marcia Larmore an indispensable party who should be part of that litigation. Attached as **Attachment A** to this report is a true and accurate copy of the Special Master Report filed on October 3, 2025, in the Superior Court of Arizona, Maricopa County (No. FC2023-001520).
28. On January 13, 2026, in the divorce proceedings in the Superior Court of Arizona, Maricopa County, Michelle Larmore petitioned the Court to join Marcia Larmore and Wawasee Family Limited Partnership as required parties to the action.
29. Previously, on September 18, 2024, the divorce court issued an Order regarding Confidential Information for the records that Marcia Larmore was required to produce in the divorce proceedings. A true and accurate copy of that Order is attached to this report as **Attachment B**.
30. On January 21, 2026, Defendant Jonathan Larmore filed, without sworn affidavit, the *Defendant Jonathan Larmore's Motion to Quash Receiver's Third Party Subpoenas* [ECF No. 472]. On February 4, 2026, the Receiver filed the *Receiver's Objection to Defendant Jonathan Larmore's Motion to Quash Receiver's Third Party Subpoenas*, with a supporting declaration and exhibits [ECF No. 484].
31. As documents are received from these requests, the Receiver will continue to compile and analyze the data, identify claims, and act when and to the extent appropriate.

vi. Claims Administration Process

32. The Receiver developed and issued a request for proposal to establish a cost-effective claims administration process, including developing a web-based solution to intake claims related to investors, vendors, and other stakeholders. The Receiver solicited proposals from four qualified vendors. The Receiver reviewed proposals received and selected a claims administration vendor (the "Claims Administrator") based on the firm's relevant experience and proposed fees. The Receiver retained the services of Omni Agent Solutions, Inc., as Claims Administrator, subject to the Court's approval, which is pending with the Court [ECF No. 451].
33. The Claims Administrator is in the process of, and has been working with, the Receivership Team to set up the claims processes and systems. As part of that process, the Receiver and his professionals have



identified more than 14,000 potentially interested parties, including 3,900 potential investors (equity holders and note holders) who own units in the various Investor Funds and other creditors and parties in interest who may have claims against, or assert equity interests in, the Receivership Estate.

34. On December 12, 2025, the Receiver filed a motion [ECF No. 451] seeking to (I) establish a bar date for filing proofs of claim against the Receivership Estates, (II) authorize the retention of Omni Agent Solutions, Inc. as claims and noticing agent, (III) approve claim form and notice procedures, and (IV) grant related relief. The motion is pending before the Court as of the filing of this Status Report.
35. Jonathan Larmore filed a limited response to the aforementioned motion on December 29, 2025 [ECF No. 458], seeking to have a right to object to any particular claim and to bring the matter before the Court.
36. The Receiver filed a preliminary reply to Jonathan Larmore's limited response on December 31, 2025 [ECF No. 460] explaining that the Receiver and Jonathan Larmore sought to reach a consensual resolution. The process is ongoing as of the date of this Status Report.

II. Actions Taken by the Receiver During the Reporting Period

A. Website/Ongoing Communications

37. The Receiver continues to update the ArciTerraReceivership.com website with key Court documents, news and updates, reports from the Receiver, answers to frequently asked questions, and other pertinent information including, in due course, the ability for investors, creditors and other stakeholders to submit claims. The Receiver also monitors and responds to inquiries and questions submitted through the dedicated telephone number (212-430-3488) and email address (receiver@arciterrareceivership.com).

B. Litigation and Third-Party Claims

38. The Receiver identified over 100 Civil Court cases pending against Receivership Entities, in which plaintiffs seek relief, including monetary damages. As set forth above, these cases highlight the risk that there are several interests competing for proceeds from the Receivership Entities. These litigations are generally stayed, consistent with the Receivership Order. These matters, to date, generally fall under three categories: (I) personal injury claims, (II) non-payment claims, and (III) other actions. The Receivership Team continues to monitor these matters and new matters as they arise, to determine how the actions impact the Receiver's mission.



C. Unclaimed Funds

39. The Receiver identified potential unclaimed property that may be linked to the Receivership Estate. The unclaimed property consists of accounts and other financial assets that have been inactive for a certain period based on the state laws where the funds are located. The Receiver's investigation into these unclaimed funds and assets included searching for the Receivership Entities in a database of state and local jurisdictions. Additionally, the Receivership team contacted taxing authorities and state treasurers' offices to file claims for the property and/or funds, if any.

D. Receivership Operations

40. In this section, the Receiver reports on the execution of cash, vendor, and property management functions to support the operations of the Receivership, as well as providing updates on property sales and disposition strategies for commercial properties.

i. Management of Commercial Properties and Operating Businesses

41. The Receiver categorized the Receivership Assets into groups ("Asset Groups") for management and operating purposes. For instance, the Receiver grouped Receivership Assets that are members of the same real estate investment trust ("REIT") into a single Asset Group. The Asset Groups, and the revenue-producing Receivership Assets that comprise each group, are as follows:



Commercial Property Entities		
REIT 3650	RIALTO	KS State Bank Portfolio
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AT Altus Cumberland GA II, LLC • AT Auburn Plaza IN II, LLC • AT Eastman GA II, LLC • AT HL Burlington IA II, LLC • AT Longview TX II, LLC • AT Mayodan NC II, LLC • AT New Lenox IL-Inline II, LLC • AT PT Danville IL II, LLC • AT Seven Hills Aurora CO II • AT Sweden NY II, LLC • AT Ville Platte LA II, LLC • ATA Lanier Fayetteville GA II, LLC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1921 Gallatin Pike Nashville TN, LLC • 2513 E North Street Kendallville IN, LLC • 412 Cross Oaks Mall Plainwell MI, LLC • 5339 Elvis Presley Blvd Memphis TN, LLC • 5450 US Highway 80 East Pearl MS, LLC • 60 Col. Promenade Pkwy Alabaster AL, LLC • 601 Trenton Road McAllen TX, LLC • 700 North Grand Ave. Mt Pleasant IA, LLC • 752 S. Andy Griffith Pkwy Mt Airy NC, LLC • 81 Jameson Lane Greenville AL, LLC • 8001 Vaughn Road Montgomery AL, LLC • ATA Hiram Square GA, LLC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ArciTerra FD Bowman SC, LLC • ArciTerra FD Greeleyville SC, LLC • ArciTerra VN Clarksville TN, LLC • ArciTerra VN Dickson TN, LLC • ArciTerra WG Milwaukee WI, LLC
Bass Pro Shop	Palencia/Mercado	StanCorp
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ArciTerra BP Olathe KS, LLC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATA Palencia St. Augustine FL, LLC • ATA Mercado St. Augustine FL, LLC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walcent Elk/IN, LLC • 900 West Marion FL, LLC
Solo Lot/Land		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1000 West Marion PG FL LLC • 925 W. Marion/960 W. Olympia FL, LLC 		
Operating Business Entities		
Village Brewhouse	Simply Sweet	Glenrosa
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • VBH PG, LLC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fudge Is Us PG, LLC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Glenrosa 32, LLC

42. The Receiver is actively managing commercial properties and operating businesses. The Receiver sold or disposed of 24 properties as of January 31, 2026. Additionally, one property in the KS State Bank Portfolio, ArciTerra FD Bowman SC, LLC⁸ (“Bowman”), was sold at a pre-Receivership tax sale. After consideration and analysis, the Receiver did not assert any claim to unwind the tax sale, as any attempt to reclaim this property would incur costs that exceed the amount of funds available to the applicable Receivership Entity. After the disposition of 24 properties, including the Court-approved abandonment of four properties, and the Receiver’s determination on the Bowman property, 15 commercial properties

⁸ ArciTerra FD Bowman SC, LLC, is a Receivership Entity that previously owned and operated a single-tenant commercial property offering 8,011 square feet of retail space in Bowman, South Carolina. The property was surrendered via a tax sale prior to the Receiver’s appointment. After careful consideration and analysis, the Receiver decided not to assert any claim to unwind the tax sale of the Bowman property. Any attempt to reclaim this property would incur costs that exceed the amount of funds available to the applicable Receivership Entity. The property holds very little value, and it is highly likely that it would ultimately revert to KS State Bank. Additionally, KS State Bank has a pending challenge to the sale. Lastly, there is a surplus amount from the tax sale that is being held by the taxing authority pending the resolution of the claims between the buyer and KS State Bank.



and two operating businesses remained. Of the 15 active commercial properties in the Receivership Estate, three are single, stand-alone assets and 12 are cross-collateralized and syndicated with CMBS within multi-property portfolios. See **Exhibit 1** for a detailed list of all commercial and residential properties, including sold properties.

a. Operating Businesses

43. The two operating businesses, Village Brewhouse, a restaurant and bar, and Simply Sweet, a retail candy store, have separate management that oversee each business's day-to-day operations. Each business leases its premises from a third party. The Receiver exercises financial and operational oversight, including cash management, over each business. Village Brewhouse and Simply Sweet's bank accounts are controlled by the Receiver.
44. On May 31, 2025, the Receiver filed motions seeking approval for the auction and bidding procedures related to the sale of the Village Brewhouse [ECF No. 370] and Simply Sweet [ECF No. 369] operating businesses. The Receiver seeks to sell each operating business through an auction process designed to achieve the highest and best possible price subject to Court approval. For the Village Brewhouse, the Receiver reserves the right to designate a stalking horse bidder⁹ and seek Court approval of stalking horse protections. In that instance, the auction procedures may be amended accordingly to reflect a modified sale process.
45. The Receiver anticipates undertaking the following steps and decision points for each sale:
 - a. **Website Launch and Initial Buyer Intake:** The Receiver will publish a dedicated landing page for Asset Sales on the ArciTerra Receivership website. Interested parties will be instructed to submit their contact information and upload a fully executed Non-Disclosure Agreement (“NDA”).
 - b. **NDA Review and Data Room Access:** The Receivership team will verify the NDA and once approved, grant the interested buyer access to a secure data room.
 - c. **Due Diligence Materials Provided:** Interested buyers will access due diligence materials including key business information such as the lease agreement, financials, sales brochure, photographs, and an Indicative Bid Form via the secure data room. The NDA and data room process will ensure that all potential interested parties will have access to the same information.

⁹ A stalking horse bidder is an initial qualified bidder selected based on the value of the asset to be sold. The stalking horse and bidding procedures must be approved by the Court, and if higher bids are received at auction, the stalking horse may be outbid or win the sale if no qualified and better offers emerge.



- d. **Submission of Indicative Bid and Proof of Funds:** Buyers who wish to proceed will be required to complete and submit the Indicative Bid Form along with proof of funds and an executed asset purchase agreement for the purchase price.
 - e. **Bid Review and Reserve Price Determination:** The Receivership team will review all indicative bids submitted 10 days prior to the scheduled auction. The average of the qualified indicative bids will be used to establish the minimum reserve price for the auction of the business. Interested buyers will be required to submit a good faith deposit of 5% of the bid no later than five days prior to the auction.
 - f. **Auction Execution and Winning Bidder Selection:** The auctions will proceed with the predetermined reserve price. The highest and best offer ("Successful Bidder") at the close of the auction will be accepted. A back-up bidder will be identified as well. The Successful Bidder and the back-up bidder will be required to increase their deposits to 10% of the purchase price and execute the PSA.
46. More than 8 months ago, on June 16, 2025, Michelle Larmore filed an omnibus objection to the Receiver's motions [ECF No. 385]. The Receiver's motions regarding the auction and bidding procedures related to the sale of the Village Brewhouse and Simply Sweet are fully briefed, submitted and pending Court disposition as of the date of this Eighth Status Report.
47. The Receiver exercises oversight of on-site management of the Village Brewhouse and Simply Sweet to ultimately prepare the businesses for sale. The Receiver conducts other ongoing financial and operational supervisory activities for one or both businesses, including:
- a. Preparation of monthly operating statements, including review and analysis of sales and operating expenses to assess profitability and identify trends or cost-management opportunities.
 - b. Weekly review of cash payout transactions to verify validity and supporting documentation, with the goal of reducing cash payouts to only urgent needs.
 - c. Bi-weekly review of payroll reports to ensure accuracy.
 - d. Review and payment of vendor invoices, including music and entertainment expenses.
 - e. Evaluation and improvement of internal controls, particularly around cash management, to strengthen safeguards and reduce risk.
 - f. Development of written company policies to clarify procedures and support onboarding of new staff.
 - g. Improve efficiency of management personnel by evaluating current roles and responsibilities and implementing changes where necessary.



- h. Support in improving IT functions to enhance records management and operational organization.
- i. Regular site visits to the businesses in Punta Gorda, Florida.

b. Commercial Properties

- 48. In prior reporting periods, the Receiver successfully sold or disposed of 20 commercial properties through Court-approved processes. For further details regarding these property sales, see Previous Status Reports. A summary of the Receiver's commercial property transactions from the inception of the Receivership is provided in **Exhibit 2**.
- 49. The sale of 14 properties¹⁰ in the REIT 3650 portfolio, proposed by motion dated July 2, 2025 [ECF No. 394], is pending Court disposition as of the date of this Eighth Status Report. There are three properties remaining under stabilization and disposition review by the Receiver. Additional detail regarding the management, preservation, and disposition of commercial properties can be found in Section V of this Status Report.

ii. Cash Management

- 50. The Receiver's cash management activities are tailored to each Asset Group. Because certain Asset Groups are subject to lender cash management agreements, the receipt and disbursement of cash is based on agreements entered between the lenders and the Receiver. For a summary of the various cash management strategies implemented by the Receiver, including those related to entities previously sold or disposed of, please refer to Previous Status Reports, including the Fifth Status Report at Section II.C.ii.
 - a. *REIT 3650*. Of the 14 properties in the REIT 3650 portfolio, 12 are managed by the Receiver. Two properties are under the control of the Indiana Receiver. The Receiver performs cash management responsibilities exclusively for the 12 properties within the Receiver's control.
 - b. *KS State Bank*. Tenants of the occupied KS State Bank properties would remit rent directly to the lender and were responsible for their own operating expenses prior to the Court-approved abandonment of the portfolio on February 9, 2026 [ECF No. 486]. As a result, there was no cash flow or cash balances for the Receivership Estate associated with these properties. Following the issuance of the abandonment order, the parties will execute appropriate closing documentation.

¹⁰ 12 of which are managed by the Receiver, while the remaining two are under the control of the Indiana Receiver.



- c. *Non-REIT Commercial Property Entities.* The Receiver established cash operating accounts for each entity and accounts for rental receipts and operating expenses at the individual entity level.
 - d. *Operating Business Entities.* Each of the operating business entities has its own operating bank account that is used for the collection of business receipts (e.g., revenue) and payment of operating expenses. The Receiver's senior personnel conduct periodic meetings with the general manager of each business and review the financial and operating reports on a regular basis.
51. As previously reported, the Receiver opened insured fiduciary bank accounts with Western Alliance Bank to streamline and improve the cash management process. Western Alliance has significant experience working with receivership, bankruptcy, and other similar matters involving fiduciaries, and provides its banking services at no cost to the Receiver.

iii. Vendor Management

52. The Receiver implemented processes for identifying, reviewing, approving, and paying vendor invoices. The Receiver's processes were outlined in Previous Status Reports, including the Fifth Status Report at Section II.C.iii.

iv. Tax Return Filing Status

a. *Federal and State Income Tax Filings for ArciTerra Entities*

53. As reported in Previous Status Reports, many ArciTerra entities did not file the required 2022 federal and state tax returns prior to the Receiver's appointment. The Receiver learned from discussions with ArciTerra's prior tax accountants, CliftonLarsonAllen ("CLA"), that ArciTerra did not provide CLA with certain documentation for the 2022 returns and did not pay CLA's outstanding professional fees. Accordingly, ArciTerra and CLA did not complete or file ArciTerra's 2022 tax returns. The Receiver understands, however, that CLA prepared and sent 2022 Forms K-1 to ArciTerra investors, which the Receiver has determined were incomplete.
54. The IRS and various state agencies will likely assess significant penalties and interest fees against the ArciTerra entities for the unfiled 2022 federal and state tax returns. Furthermore, the lack of accurate and complete 2023 books and records require the Receiver to "reconstruct" the appropriate accounting records to prepare and file the 2023 tax returns.
55. The Receiver researched, solicited, received, and reviewed proposals from CLA and two other national accounting firms to prepare and file the necessary federal and state tax returns. After careful review of



each firm's relevant experience, tax expertise, and proposed fees, the Receiver selected SAX to prepare the tax returns including for previously unfiled tax years. The Court approved the retention of SAX by order dated November 15, 2024 [ECF No. 264].

56. For an extended period of time, SAX, the Receiver, and CLA were in conversation regarding the transfer of historical tax data between the accounting firms so that certain tax returns could be prepared. In the interest of time, and to minimize cost and litigation risk associated with a potential action to enforce the turnover of information, SAX has undertaken manual data entry to prepare the tax returns.
57. The Receiver continues to collect and review entity financial information to determine whether filing is appropriate and works with SAX regularly to share that information for the tax return preparation. Subsequent to this reporting period, the Receiver has begun to file the first wave of 2022 tax returns with the IRS and various states and to issue Forms K-1 to over 100 relevant investors. The Receiver has submitted and issued these tax documents with appropriate caveats citing the commencement of the Receivership and the condition of historical books and records. The Receiver simultaneously remitted the amounts due including interest and potential penalties not paid timely prior to the Receiver's appointment.

b. Corporate Business Registration Filings

58. The Receiver continues to review and assess the ArciTerra corporate entities, and their respective state registration filings to ensure that necessary entities are in good standing with their state regulators.

v. Commercial and Residential Property Operations¹¹

59. The Receiver's work continues in accordance with the duties defined in the Receivership Order. The Receiver is managing the Receivership Assets and stabilizing cash flows from income-generating assets, including streamlining the rent collection process, paying real estate taxes and property vendors, negotiating forbearances, and analyzing properties and assets for disposition or further action.

a. Commercial Property Operations

60. The Receiver remains in contact with key commercial property stakeholders, including other receivers, to assist with the coordination of assets that were surrendered prior to the commencement of the Receivership. The Receiver is actively operating the REIT 3650 portfolio, which is subject to a CMBS financing structure, and for which a motion to sell remains pending, and is coordinating with the Indiana Receiver regarding certain assets within the portfolio under the Indiana Receiver's control in order to

¹¹ See **Exhibit 1** for a detailed list of all commercial and residential properties, including sold properties.



maximize benefits for the Receivership Estate. Additionally, the Receiver is working with lenders and lenders' counsel to negotiate and maintain certain pauses, extensions, or forbearances as appropriate and coordinate asset disposition strategy for each property.

b. Commercial Property Dispositions

61. The decision to move forward with the disposition or sale of Receivership Assets is made by the Receiver, and if appropriate, upon consultation with the lender and lender's counsel, while subject to approval by the Court. The sale of any material Receivership Asset, including the engagement of any brokers for the sale of that asset, remains subject to Court approval. The results of the sale of 19 properties are summarized in the table below and in **Exhibit 2**, reflecting net proceeds to the Receivership of \$22,645,512 after repayment of secured debt and closing costs.

Net Proceeds to the Receivership from Asset Dispositions				
Property	Sale Price	Debt Payoff	Closing Costs**	Net Proceeds to Receivership
<i>Properties Sold in Prior Reporting Periods (Before October 31, 2025)</i>				
Glenrosa	\$28,250,000	(\$21,277,269)	(\$464,004)	\$6,508,727
Mercado	\$6,500,000	(1,789,444)	(503,298)	\$4,207,258
Palencia	\$4,175,000	(982,442)	(304,542)	\$2,888,016
1000 W. Marion	\$2,500,000	(2,198,621)	(81,724)	\$219,655
Rialto Portfolio*	\$24,665,929	(17,142,884)	(761,520)	\$5,869,874
Hiram Square	\$5,525,000	(3,659,140)	(497,250)	\$1,697,874
Walcent	\$1,605,000	(1,179,050)	(164,920)	\$293,130
900 W. Marion	\$3,050,000	(2,277,363)	(11,713)	\$471,705
Bass Pro	\$500,000	0	(10,726)	\$489,274
Grand Total	\$76,770,929	(\$50,506,213)	(\$2,799,696)	\$22,645,512

*Sale price and cross-collateralized debt payoff figures include the Indiana Receiver's property, while closing costs and net proceeds to the Receivership reflect only the 11 properties under the Receiver's control.

**Closing costs include prorations, commissions, bank fees, escrow and title charges, property taxes, and liens where applicable. Closing costs also reflect the inclusion of auction rebate fees for properties sold over \$1 million.

c. Other Receivership Asset Dispositions

62. The Receivership Order compels parties noted in Exhibit C to the Receivership Order to remit to the Receiver all surplus proceeds after repayment of the lender and administration of the pre-existing receiverships. Five properties were sold and the Receiver and his Retained Professionals worked to assure that \$1,729,125 in proceeds were remitted to the Receiver.



63. The Receiver was provided notice of a pending Motion for Court Approval and Confirmation of Private Sale filed in a foreclosure action in the Circuit Court of the Third Circuit, State of Hawaii (*B.H. Capital Ventures, LLC v. AT ML Leasehold HI, LLC, et al.*). The Motion sought approval of a private sale of the leased fee interest in the subject property through a \$17 million credit bid by the foreclosing lender, which is owed in excess of \$24 million under its loan documents and foreclosure judgment. On January 22, 2026, the Circuit Court of the Third Circuit, State of Hawaii, entered an order granting the motion and confirming the private sale.
64. The Receiver remains in communication with other parties noted in Exhibit C to the Receivership Order, including other court-appointed receivers of ArciTerra assets or entities, and expects additional reporting and proceeds as appropriate to be remitted as other receivers dispose of assets under their control.

d. Residential Property Operations

65. As with the commercial properties, the Receiver's work with residential properties continues in accordance with the duties defined in the Receivership Order. The Receiver remains in contact with key residential property stakeholders such as mortgage lenders and their respective counsel to track the outstanding mortgage balances. The process for disposition or sale of residential assets mirrors that described above for commercial assets.

vi. Property Management

a. Commercial Property Management

66. The Receiver's property management teams continue to oversee the operations and maintenance services of the ArciTerra real estate portfolio per the requirements of the Receivership Order. Property management services are discontinued for properties that have been sold but remain in place for those still under the Receiver's control.
67. For further details regarding the property management services undertaken by the Receiver to stabilize the commercial portfolio and to ensure necessary property services and compliance with municipal requirements, please see Previous Status Reports.
68. Other ongoing key commercial property management activities include:
 - a. **Comprehensive Inspections and Maintenance.** The Receiver continues collaborating with Cushman & Wakefield ("Cushman") to collect and review monthly site inspection reports for each property. Monthly calls are held to analyze property reporting data, focusing on vacancies, lost rents, maintenance concerns, poor property appearance, tenant issues, and future capital expenditures, which inform Receivership budget projections. The Receiver further continues his



work with Cushman – as well as tenants, contractors, and vendors – to address necessary repairs due to past neglect.

- b. **Insurance Reviews.** The Receiver regularly performs insurance reviews for each property, and for the vendors servicing the properties, to ensure correct coverage amounts, insurer quality, and required additional insureds. The Receiver renewed general liability and property insurance for the commercial and residential properties that remain active in the Receivership as of the close of this reporting period.
- c. **Leasing Activity.** The Receiver has engaged leasing brokers for properties in the REIT 3650 portfolio to support leasing efforts. Leasing activity across the portfolio remains ongoing as the Receiver continues to assess opportunities to maximize stability and occupancy.
- d. **Common Area Maintenance (“CAM”) Reconciliations:** The Receiver, with the assistance of Cushman, completed 2024 CAM reconciliations for the 12 properties under the Receiver’s control in the REIT 3650 portfolio. This process included collecting and reviewing operating expense documentation, validating lease-specific recovery provisions, calculating tenant reconciliations, and coordinating issuance of reconciliation statements as appropriate. The Receiver is preparing to begin the 2025 CAM reconciliation cycle and will continue working with Cushman to ensure timely and accurate expense recoveries.

E. Record Preservation and Review

- 69. Since issuing the Receiver’s First Status Report in June 2024, the Receiver has been managing the physical documents including logistics and scanning for review purposes.
- 70. The Receiver continues to review documents based on specific search criteria to identify information relevant to the ongoing work of the Receivership Team. The Receiver is using the information from key documents to support and enhance the Receiver’s understanding of the state of ArciTerra at the time of the Receiver’s appointment and to assist the Receiver with managing the ArciTerra businesses.

F. Investor Funds Analyses

- 71. As reported in the Previous Status Reports, Section II.2 of the Receivership Order places responsibility on the Receiver to, among other things, ascertain the financial condition of the Receivership Entities and Receivership Assets, and to propose for the Court a fair and equitable distribution of the remaining Receivership Assets. To meet this mandate, the Receiver’s work includes gaining an understanding of the structures, identifying investors, lenders, and other creditors, evaluating fees, and assessing the overall flow of funds to third parties and between Receivership Entities and Investor Funds.



72. In addition, aspects of these analyses and ongoing follow-on efforts may allow the Receiver to identify suspect transactions and therefore other potential sources of recovery for creditors, investors, stakeholders, and other victims.
73. The Receivership Assets include 11 private investment vehicles through which capital was raised from third-party investors¹² (referred to throughout this Eighth Status Report as “Investor Funds”), generally through brokers. As the Receiver previously reported, his review focused on 11 of the 16 Investor Funds in the Receiver’s scope.¹³ The Receiver substantially completed the review of the 11 in-scope Investor Funds. The detailed analysis of each of the Investor Funds was reported on in the Third Status Report at Section II.E and in the Fourth Status Report at Section II.E.
74. **Figure 1** below is a table of all 16 in-scope Investor Funds which contains details about the number of investors, amounts raised, amounts paid, and the date the Investor Fund ceased operations, if applicable.
75. The Receiver is in the process of determining the amounts owed to investors (either Note Holders or Equity Holders). Consequently, the Receiver is not representing, nor should one conclude, that the difference between the total amount raised from investors (column E in the table below) and the total paid to investors to date (column F in the table below) equals the total amount owed to investors. The amounts reflected in the table below have been extracted from various contemporaneous ArciTerra spreadsheets. Unless otherwise indicated, these amounts have not been independently verified. The Receiver has taken steps to validate these amounts, where appropriate, or as needed, to recalculate or determine the amounts owed to investors. The Report further outlines this process in Section II.E.ii.

¹² The term “investors,” as referred to in this Eighth Status Report and Previous Status Reports, includes both equity holders and note holders and members who own units in the various Investor Funds.

¹³ The Receiver identified a total of 19 Investor Funds, of which 16 of the Investor Funds are part of the Receiver’s scope and 11 of which were the focus of the Receiver’s Investor Fund analysis to date. A comprehensive list of the Investor Funds is provided at **Exhibit 3**.



Figure 1: Summary of Investor Funds in the Receiver's Scope

<i>Summary of Investor Funds</i>							
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
No.	Offering	Date of POM	Number of Investors	Total Raised from Investors	Total Paid to Investors ¹⁴	Full Cycle/ Ceased Operations	Investors
1	ArciTerra Note Fund III, LLC	03/21/08	541	\$25,000,000	\$13,564,765	n/a	Note Holders
2	ArciTerra REIT, Inc.	04/03/06	498	20,258,940	6,039,462	n/a	Equity Holders
3	ArciTerra Note Fund II, LLC	11/17/06	449	20,000,000	12,571,822	n/a	Note Holders
4	ArciTerra National REIT, Inc.	10/28/08	388	16,330,350	12,905,069	n/a	Equity Holders
5	ASI Belleville Crossing IL, LLC	09/16/11	161	7,376,760	4,315,732	n/a	Equity Holders
6	Whitefish Opportunity Fund, LLC	05/04/07	157	6,344,000	-	02/15/13	Equity Holders
7	ASR Wheatland IL, LLC	03/01/15	112	5,254,834	1,628,513	n/a	Equity Holders
8	ASR Forum KY, LLC	11/28/12	59	5,046,882	11,170,184	09/11/17	Equity Holders
9	ASR Plaza OK, LLC	04/26/13	105	4,750,518	1,131,309	n/a	Equity Holders
10	ASR Briargate & Linden IL, LLC	06/16/14	75	4,245,194	1,337,777	n/a	Equity Holders
11	ASR Roswell/Cumberland, LLC	09/10/10	73	3,050,000	5,109,556	12/31/19	Equity Holders
12	ASR Plainfield Village IN, LLC	11/12/15	15	3,025,000	3,886,299	12/31/19	Equity Holders
13	ASR KY & GA, LLC	10/10/12	78	2,470,281	2,785,597	12/31/17	Equity Holders
14	ASR Echelon, LLC	02/22/11	63	2,205,000	905,184	n/a	Equity Holders
15	ASR Trinity Place TN, LLC	06/30/11	62	1,838,333	2,940,224	09/01/19	Equity Holders
16	ASR Centerville & Colony GA, LLC	11/30/15	7	1,210,869	65,229	n/a	Equity Holders
Total			2,843	\$128,406,961	\$80,356,721		

76. The Receiver has prepared a summarized chronological timeline of significant financial events that mark the life of the Investor Funds based on his analysis of the Investor Funds as documented in the Previous Status Reports. The timeline illustrates certain key events under each Investor Fund.¹⁵ See **Figure 2** below for the Investor Fund timeline (see **Exhibit 4** for a larger version of this timeline).

¹⁴ Total paid to investors as of the inception of the Receivership (December 21, 2023) includes interest payments, dividend payments, and capital reimbursements, or a combination thereof.

¹⁵ The REIT 3650 and Rialto portfolios are not reflected in the timeline (**Exhibit 4**), as they are not and were not Investor Funds, but rather refinancing/tax optimization tools. Some of the funds raised from these transactions were used to repay some investors (such as in the case of ASR Plainfield), without explanation as to why these investors were paid back their capital while others were not. See Section II.E of the Third Status Report and Section II.E of the Fourth Status Report for further discussion on this topic.



77. The timeline shows that:
- a. ArciTerra raised capital for the various Investor Funds during the period from 2006 to 2016. These raise periods for each Investor Fund are represented as the blue lines.
 - b. Dividend and interest payments (represented by green lines) are sporadic and not consistent across the Investor Funds.¹⁶ The fact that interest rates on notes for Note Funds II and III decreased during the period June 2010 through March 2020 is not visually reflected in the chart.
 - c. Dividend and payments in the Investor Funds ceased (represented by the red lines) by early 2020, but for a number of the Investor Funds, dividend and interest payments stopped being paid prior to 2020. Some of the Investor Funds completed a refinancing (represented by the white triangles) or sold properties (of which the final property sale within the Investor Fund is represented by the orange triangles). Many of these refinancings or sales that brought cash back into the Investor Fund are close to the time dividends stopped being paid to the investors, or, in many instances, these events occurred when dividend or interest payments had already been discontinued for the Investor Fund and did not result in distributions to investors.
 - d. While certain Investor Funds were closed¹⁷ and capital was returned to investors, as reflected in the timeline, because of the commingling of funds, the source of funds that ArciTerra decided to utilize to pay these investors was not necessarily sourced from their respective Investor Fund, as documented in Previous Status Reports, but can be funded by other investors. For example, ASR Roswell/Cumberland investors were repaid prior to the sale of the asset, from other sources through ASRA.
78. The Receiver documented in the Previous Status Reports that cash coming in through these Investor Funds (via refinancings or sales of assets or through the use of other investor contributions) frequently did not originate from their respective funds' assets due to the pervasive commingling of cash through ASRA which increased significantly in 2015 and thereafter. Even when investors received repayment of principal and payment of interest and dividends, the Receiver discovered that, frequently, investors received payments from investments not associated with the Investor Fund in which they were invested and that at the time the underlying investments could not support such payments.

¹⁶ For certain Investor Funds, earlier investors into the Fund began to receive quarterly distributions while the Capital Raise Period was still open.

¹⁷ "Closed" refers to the date investors received their return of capital or were otherwise notified that the Investor Fund would cease operations.



Figure 2: Simplified ArciTerra Investor Fund Timeline

Offering	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Total Capital Raised	Total Paid to Investors	Return of Capital
Arciterra REIT, Inc.																			\$ 20,258,940	\$ 6,039,462	N
Note Fund II																			\$ 20,000,000	\$ 12,571,822	N
Whitefish Opportunity Fund																		closed 2/2013	\$ 6,344,000	\$ -	N
Note Fund III																			\$ 25,000,000	\$ 13,564,765	N
National REIT, Inc.																			\$ 16,330,350	\$ 12,905,069	N
ASR Roswell/Cumberland																		closed 2/2020	\$ 3,050,000	\$ 5,511,866	Y
ASR Echelon																			\$ 2,205,000	\$ 905,184	N
ASR Trinity Place																		closed 9/2019	\$ 1,838,333	\$ 3,052,271	Y
ASI Belleville																			\$ 7,376,760	\$ 4,315,732	N
ASR KY & GA																		closed 12/2017	\$ 2,470,281	\$ 2,801,411	Y
ASR Forum KY																		closed 9/2017	\$ 5,046,882	\$ 11,299,680	Y
ASR Plaza OK																			\$ 4,750,518	\$ 1,131,309	N
ASR Briargate & Linden IL																			\$ 4,245,194	\$ 1,337,777	N
ASR Wheatland																			\$ 5,254,834	\$ 1,628,513	N
ASR Plainfield Village																		closed 2/2020	\$ 3,025,000	\$ 3,886,299	Y
ASR Centerville & Colony																			\$ 1,210,869	\$ 65,229	N

Chart Key	
	Refinancing
	Final Property Sale / Disposition
	Capital Raise Period
	Fund Operational and Dividend Distribution
	Fund Operational and No Dividend Distribution

79. In addition to the review of the Investor Funds, the Receiver has analyzed, and is continuing to analyze, other entities and ArciTerra real estate portfolios, such as Rialto, REIT 3650, and Palencia and Mercado, to which properties were transferred out of entities subject to Investor Funds.
80. In certain circumstances, the Receiver’s analyses are subject to various bank records and other information not readily accessible. The Receiver has been and is in the process of identifying additional records and information and will proceed to obtain them by request or demand as necessary.
81. In conducting these analyses, the Receiver is considering whether potential causes of action could be brought against various parties or claims to assets could be made from which the Receivership may realize additional recoveries for the benefit of creditors, investors, and other stakeholders.
82. The Receiver is continuing to assess potential additional entities or assets in which the Defendants or the Relief Defendants have an interest which are not currently part of the Receivership Entities or Receivership Assets, and where assets may have been commingled with Investor Funds. As a result of his analysis, on April 10, 2025 [ECF No. 33] and June 4, 2025 [ECF No. 372], the Receiver filed motions to designate additional Receivership Entities, which are fully briefed, submitted and pending Court



disposition as of the date of this Eighth Status report. Please see Section IV below for further details on the Receiver's motions to designate additional Receivership Entities.

i. Pervasive Commingling of Investor Funds

a. Summary of Observations from Previous Status Reports

83. In the Previous Status Reports, the Receiver discussed and illustrated ArciTerra's common practice of paying expenses based on their urgency, with cash from the bank account of an entity with sufficient funds at the time the payment was needed, without regard to which entity incurred the debt or whether the cash came from an account from operating entities or from one of the Investor Funds. The Receiver also discussed his observations regarding how the transfer of available cash from one entity to another was facilitated through so-called "intercompany loans" between the entities borrowing and lending the cash from and through ASRA as the counterparty.
84. ArciTerra commingled money from operating entities owned by certain investors in Investor Funds with money from ArciTerra entities or affiliates unrelated to the Investor Funds. ASRA is owned by JMMAL Investments LLC ("JMMAL"), MML Investments LLC ("MML"), and Spike Holdings LLC ("Spike"), which are all owned by Wawasee Family Investments LP ("Wawasee"). Marcia Larmore and Jonathan Larmore are the general partners of Wawasee. The Private Offering Memoranda ("POMs") for the Investor Funds were located with contemporaneous organizational charts that lay out the ownership, capital, and cash flow structures for each of the Investor Funds. The organizational charts for the four largest funds neither show any ownership by ASRA of any of the entities, nor include ASRA in the Investor Fund cash flow structures beyond fees ASRA may earn as the manager of some of the other Investor Funds.
85. As reported in the Fourth Status Report at Section II.E.ii.b, the Receiver has performed an analysis of certain Investor Funds to understand how the payment of management fees occurred compared to the allowable management fees per the POMs and how the management fees were recorded in the books and records of various entities, including investments, funds, and managers. The Receiver recalculated certain fees, on a sample basis, according to the terms of the relevant selected POMs,¹⁸ which were received in the selected Investor Fund's manager's general ledger (e.g., ASRA) and related bank statements, when available. However, the Receiver has not yet been able to make any determination as to whether the managers of the selected Investor Funds were entitled to such fees, and while the

¹⁸ The Receiver identified certain fees contemplated in the POMs were not paid for certain Investor Funds. As an example, tenant improvement and construction management fees would not be paid if such activities did not occur. In another example, special disposition fees from the gross sales price of an investment were subordinated to unpaid preferred return and unrecovered capital contributions of the members; therefore, such fees would not be paid if no additional proceeds from the sale remained.



Receiver could trace, on a sample basis, certain fees paid up to the manager level from the properties, the reverse is not possible because of ArciTerra management's inconsistent bookkeeping practices and lack of appropriate oversight.

86. The Receiver noticed the payment of unusual (i.e., large, rounded dollar amounts) and unsupported "Property Management Fees" from certain properties to ArciTerra Companies, LLC ("ArciTerra Companies"), which was managed by Jonathan Larmore.
87. As of this Eighth Status Report, the Receiver has not found:
 - a. Information to support whether, at the time when ArciTerra created the so-called "intercompany loans," the lending entity received equivalent value from the borrowing entity, or that the transactions were conducted at arm's length. It is also unclear whether such loans had economic substance. For instance, although ArciTerra recorded the loan balances when ArciTerra made a loan, it is not apparent that it considered whether the borrowing entity had the ability to repay the loan without receiving funds from other ArciTerra companies. This commingling process was partially documented in contemporaneous ArciTerra intercompany loan tracking spreadsheets reviewed by the Receiver.
 - b. Any documented policy that would have explained how decisions were made to prioritize satisfying debts of certain entities or vendors, or other third parties, over making distributions to certain investors, or how any such decisions would benefit investors.
88. The findings from the Receiver's analyses, combined with the determination of income and profit distribution or liquidation "waterfalls" from the various investment structures, will assist the Receiver in formulating a proposed distribution plan in due course, which is likely to take into consideration the impact of the commingling of funds. The commingling of so many entities' funds over an extended period of time will likely affect the determination of how the Receivership Estate will seek to satisfy claims, and at the appropriate time, the Receiver will develop a proposal to address creditors, investors, stakeholders, and other victims.
89. The Receiver's efforts to determine the amounts owed and available to distribute to investors are complicated by ArciTerra's practice of cash commingling. This practice has caused the Receiver to analyze many transactions to determine to which entities, Investor Funds, and creditors the cash and/or assets belong.



b. Pervasive Commingling of Investors' Funds Through ASRA Renders an Analysis of the Funds Separately Very Difficult, Which Might Lead to Consolidation of the Funds Under the Receivership for Purposes of Distribution

90. The Receiver confirmed the commingling findings made in the Previous Status Reports, including the fact that most related entity loans were not documented after 2015. In addition, there is no documentation as to whether the borrowing entities, including ASRA, had the ability to repay their debts, or whether the lending entities (affiliates or otherwise) received equivalent value when loans between related entities were extended.
91. ASRA was part of certain Investor Funds' organizational structures; however, it was not part of others, including the four largest Investor Funds. It is apparent, however, that beginning in approximately 2015, ASRA, by design, was used as a central conduit and pass-through entity to route most of ArciTerra's cash flows, irrespective of the source or the destination of the funds, in such a way which in most cases resulted in the obfuscation of the purpose of the transfers. ASRA acted as a lender to and borrower from related entities, of funds coming from properties, investors, and lenders, often without being part of the ownership structure of the Investor Funds, as further documented in the Receiver's Previous Status Reports.
92. Because of the pervasive use of, and reliance on ASRA as a conduit and pass-through for many cash transactions, the Receiver has concluded that investors' assets were consistently commingled between Investor Funds irrespective of their ownership structures. This conclusion is based on the Receiver's detailed historical review of the Investor Funds and analysis of discrete transactions such as fund raises, refinancings, property acquisitions, and dispositions as documented in Previous Status Reports. As initially presented in the Third Status Report, **Exhibit 5** provides a visual representation of ArciTerra's commingling.
93. The Receiver's analysis of the Investor Funds (discussed and illustrated in Previous Status Reports) consistently demonstrates that ArciTerra management:
 - a. Used funds from investors in one Investor Fund to pay those in other Investor Funds.
 - b. Used proceeds of refinancing and sales transactions related to properties held in particular Investor Funds to pay unrelated investors, creditors, or entities outside of the Investor Fund ownership and cash flow structures.
 - c. Prioritized certain groups of investors over others without documented support from the provisions contained in the Investor Fund offering documents or other documents that could be located.



94. ASRA was used as a central conduit to facilitate many of these transactions which resulted in obfuscating the purpose of the payments, and priority of payments that would allow the Receiver to determine how much is owed to each investor group in their own Investor Fund silo.
95. Based on the Receiver's analysis and conclusions to date, certain investors were repaid their capital, in some Investor Funds, from proceeds of other Investor Funds, because of the extensive commingling through ASRA, creating an illusion of a profitable business.
96. Accordingly, this pervasive commingling for substantive cash deployment purposes makes the untangling of the transactions related to the Investor Funds extremely challenging, as is the determination of which cash flows should have been allocated to which Investor Fund. It appears that such allocation will be very difficult to reliably complete in a cost-effective manner. The Receiver will consider whether it would be appropriate to seek Court approval for consolidation or other treatment, for purposes of distribution.

ii. Determination of Amounts Owed to Investors

97. In order to determine the amounts owed to investors, the Receiver not only has to (I) verify capital contributions, but also (II) verify return of capital (or repayment of principal for notes, if relevant), (III) verify interest payments on notes and dividends payments, and (IV) calculate potential unpaid accrued interest or dividends, if and when appropriate.

a. Validation of Amounts Contributed by Investors to Investor Funds

98. During the previous reporting periods, the Receiver analyzed and verified amounts contributed by the investors in the in-scope Investor Funds. Because of the large number of investors involved in the various Investor Funds, as well as the length of operation of many of these Investor Funds, the Receiver designed a statistical sample to verify the reasonableness of the amounts contributed by investors and noteholders. That sample was designed to verify the existence of contemporaneous ArciTerra records for more than \$128 million in capital contribution transactions across the 16 Investor Funds derived from ArciTerra analyses. The Receiver endeavored to locate, analyze and review supporting documentation including, but not limited to, contemporaneous subscription agreements, W-9s, cancelled checks, account statements, etc., for each of the randomized sample selections in the investor population to confirm original investment details logged in various ArciTerra workbooks and understand whether that detail was accurate and representative of the supporting documentation.
99. As a result of this exercise, the Receiver concluded with a reasonable degree of statistical certainty that the capital amounts raised in the Investor Funds were supported by contemporaneous documentation and thus that the capital contribution amounts reflected in **Figure 1** above are reasonably accurate.



Thus, the Receiver can conclude that there is adequate support for the proposition that ArciTerra raised the aggregate amount of \$128,406,961 between 2006 and 2015 from third-party investors in connection with the 16 Investor Funds.

b. Estimation of Amounts Owed to Investors as of December 2023

100. In the previous reporting period, the Receiver reviewed contemporaneous documentation and continued his analyses to verify repayments of capital and payments of dividends and interest, as well as calculated accrued dividends and interest based on his analyses of the Investor Funds (as discussed in previous Status Reports). The Receiver has confirmed that the contemporaneous calculations of dividends and interest paid by ArciTerra were generally reasonable, when they were performed. That is not to say that such calculations represented all of the funds owed to investors. Based on the data analyzed by the Receiver and various assumptions made by the Receiver to date, as reported in the Sixth Status Report, the estimated funds owed to investors as of December 2023 may range between approximately \$113 million and \$217 million. The Receiver is in the process of developing models that will allow, in due course, the distribution of some funds to investors based on available recoveries made by the Receiver.

iii. Claims Against Third Parties

101. As the Receiver continues to analyze the financial affairs of the Receivership Estate, the Receiver is mindful that there may be claims against the Defendants, Relief Defendants and others for substantial value transferred out of the ArciTerra entities at the expense of creditors, investors, stakeholders and other victims.
102. The Receiver's ongoing analyses also seek to determine whether potential causes of action could be brought against various parties or claims to assets could be made from which the Receivership may realize additional recoveries for the benefit of creditors, investors, stakeholders, and other victims. To complete this analyses, however, the Receiver requires additional financial records.
103. The Receiver's analyses include the compilation of Receivership Entities' financial records, including bank statements, credit card statements, and general ledger data related to approximately 50,000 transactions and involving approximately 1,000 counterparties. The Receiver's analysis also includes determining the net amount paid by and/or received from the counterparties, and the nature of the counterparties and assessing the economic substance of the transactions between the counterparties and the Receivership Entities.
104. Through these efforts, the Receiver has concluded that there is ample support for the proposition that, between 2006 and 2015, ArciTerra raised the aggregate amount of \$128,406,961 from third-party



investors in connection with sixteen (16) Investor Funds. The Receiver's analysis of the books and records has also revealed that ArciTerra transferred substantial value out of the ArciTerra entities at the expense of creditors, investors, and other victims and that the Receivership Estate may have causes of action against third parties. Refer to the Receiver's Seventh Status Report at Section II.E for additional detail.

105. From the books and records currently available, the Receiver cannot definitively establish the net amount paid by and/or received from Receivership Entity transaction counterparties because information related to an incoming transaction's sender is generally not available for any deposits or incoming transactions that are not wires. Accordingly, the Receiver has subpoenaed records regarding outgoing and incoming transactions from various financial institutions and others. To further his analysis, the Receiver requires this banking and other financial information from those individuals and entities that either received large amounts of money from Receivership Entities or had a high volume of transactions with Receivership Entities.
106. To that end, on December 31, 2025, the Receiver served a Notice of Subpoenas upon the SEC, the Defendants and all Relief Defendants pursuant to Rule 45(a)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, informing them of his intent to serve subpoenas for the production of documents, including certain banks, broker/dealers and former employees. See Certificate of Service of Notice of Subpoenas [ECF No. 467].
107. On January 14, 2026, Relief Defendant Marcia Larmore filed, without sworn affidavit, the *Relief Defendant Marcia Larmore's Motion and Memorandum to Quash Subpoenas Directed at Marcia Larmore, Moynahan Investments LLC, Wawasee Family Investments Limited Partnership, Morrison Island, LLC, and HV Gardens, LLC* [ECF No. 468]. On January 28, 2026, the Receiver filed the *Receiver's Objection to Relief Defendant Marcia Larmore's Motion and Memorandum to Quash Subpoenas*, with a supporting sworn declaration and exhibits [ECF No. 476]. In the Receiver's objection, the Receiver asserted that Marcia Larmore, and entities under her control which the Receiver seeks to designate as Receivership Entities, are inextricably intertwined with the Receivership Estate. Marcia Larmore filed a Reply, again, without sworn affidavit, on February 18, 2026 [ECF No. 494].
108. Similarly, the Special Master appointed in the Larmore divorce proceeding deemed Marcia Larmore an indispensable party who should be part of that litigation. Attached to this report as **Attachment A** is a true and accurate copy of the Special Master Report filed on October 3, 2025, in the Superior Court of Arizona, Maricopa County (No. FC2023-001520
109. On January 13, 2026, in the divorce proceedings in the Superior Court of Arizona, Maricopa County, Michelle Larmore petitioned the Court to join Marcia Larmore and Wawasee Family Limited Partnership



as required parties to the action. Previously, on September 18, 2024, the divorce Court issued an Order regarding Confidential Information for the records that Marcia Larmore was required to produce in the divorce proceedings. A true and accurate copy of that Order is attached to this report as **Attachment B**.

110. On January 21, 2026, Defendant Jonathan Larmore filed, without sworn affidavit, the *Defendant Jonathan Larmore's Motion to Quash Receiver's Third Party Subpoenas* [ECF No. 472]. On February 4, 2026, the Receiver filed the *Receiver's Objection to Defendant Jonathan Larmore's Motion to Quash Receiver's Third Party Subpoenas*, with a supporting sworn declaration and exhibits [ECF No. 484].
111. As documents are received from these requests, the Receiver will continue to compile and analyze the data, identify claims, and act when and to the extent appropriate.
112. In parallel to our review and analysis of financial records, the Receiver is actively analyzing data to determine if counterparties have information and records related to transactions and Receivership Assets. Additionally, the Receiver is reviewing the role that certain counterparties may have played in various transactions as well as their links to the Defendants and Relief Defendants.



III. Financial Status

113. The Receiver provides a report of the cash balances of the Receivership Assets; the receipts, disbursements, and balance of the Receivership Estate's Fund; and administrative expenses of the Receivership, below.

A. Cash on Hand – Receivership Assets

114. The following is a summary of the net change in operating cash balances¹⁹ by Asset Group for the period December 21, 2023 through January 31, 2026. This table is also attached as **Exhibit 6**.

Summary of Change In Operating Cash Balances December 21, 2023 through January 31, 2026				
Asset Group	Balance as of 12/21/2023	Net Change	Balance as of 01/31/2026	Cash Availability
<i>Operating Businesses</i>				
Village Brewhouse	\$55,300	\$1,323,197	\$1,378,497	Dedicated
Simply Sweet	\$58,570	\$413,870	\$472,440	Dedicated
<i>Commercial Properties</i>				
Glenrosa (Sold)	\$556,500	(\$556,500)	\$0	Restricted
REIT 3650	\$186,400	\$2,052,784	\$2,239,184	Restricted
Rialto (Sold)	\$120,400	\$880,189	\$1,000,589	Restricted
Bass Pro (Sold)	\$26,000	\$760,256	\$786,256	Other
Single Properties (Sold)	\$40,600	\$207,674	\$248,274	Other

B. Schedule of Receivership Estate Fund Receipts and Disbursements

115. The cash balance of the Receivership Fund as of January 31, 2026, was \$14,880,696.59. The following is a schedule of the Receivership Fund's Receipts and Disbursements from November 1, 2025, through January 31, 2026:

¹⁹ These values represent the operating cash balances, and do not include, and are not intended to include, the sale proceeds of the assets.



Receivership Fund Receipts and Disbursements November 1, 2025 through January 31, 2026	
Beginning Balance, November 1, 2025	\$15,888,068.46
<i>Receipts</i>	
REIT 3650 carve-out for Receivership Fees & Expenses	49,999.50
Interest income earned on bank cash balances	36,936.61
Total Receipts	86,936.11
<i>Disbursements</i>	
Document/record storage and movement costs	(4,396.60)
Maintenance of 2023 28' Pontoon Boat	(2,247.00)
Disbursement of StoneTurn Group LLP Fees from Receiver's Fifth Fee Application	(780,365.57)
Disbursement of StoneTurn Group LLP Expenses from Receiver's Fifth Fee Application	(70,818.40)
Disbursement of Archer & Greiner, P.C. Fees from Receiver's Fifth Fee Application	(236,071.08)
Disbursement of Archer & Greiner, P.C. Expenses from Receiver's Fifth Fee Application	(409.33)
Total Disbursements	(1,094,307.98)
Ending Balance, January 31, 2026 (unencumbered funds)	\$14,880,696.59

C. Amount and Nature of Accrued Administrative Expenses

i. Approved Fee Applications

116. As reported in Previous Status Reports, the Receiver filed, and the Court has approved payment of the following Fee Applications:
- a. **First Fee Application:** On May 15, 2024, the Receiver filed the First Application of Receiver for Allowance and Payment of Professional Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for the Period December 21, 2023, through March 31, 2024 [ECF No. 165]. The Court approved payment of professional fees for the First Fee Application on September 12, 2024 [ECF No. 226].
 - b. **Second Fee Application:** On September 3, 2024, the Receiver filed the Second Application of Receiver for Allowance and Payment of Professional Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for the Period April 1, 2024 – June 30, 2024 [ECF No. 211]. The Court approved payment of professional fees for the Second Fee Application on November 27, 2024 [ECF No. 283].
 - c. **Third Fee Application:** On November 14, 2024, the Receiver filed the Third Application of Receiver for Allowance and Payment of Professional Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for the Period July 1, 2024 – September 30, 2024 [ECF No. 268]. The Court approved payment of professional fees for the Third Fee Application on February 6, 2025 [ECF No. 306].



- d. **Fourth Fee Application:** On February 14, 2025, the Receiver filed the Fourth Application of Receiver for Allowance and Payment of Professional Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for the Period October 1, 2024 – December 31, 2024 [ECF No. 309]. The Court approved payment of professional fees for the Fourth Fee Application on August 6, 2025 [ECF No. 414].
- e. **Fifth Fee Application:** On May 19, 2025, the Receiver filed the Fifth Application of Receiver for Allowance and Payment of Professional Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for the Period January 1, 2025 – March 31, 2025 [ECF No. 358]. The Court approved payment of professional fees for the Fifth Fee Application on January 28, 2026 [ECF No. 475].

ii. Sixth Fee Application

117. On August 15, 2025, the Receiver filed the Sixth Application of Receiver for Allowance and Payment of Professional Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for the Period April 1, 2025 – June 30, 2025 [ECF No. 420]. The only limited objection to the Application was Relief Defendant Michelle Larmore's Limited Objection, filed August 28, 2025 [ECF No. 426]. On September 4, 2025, the Receiver filed the Receiver's Reply to Michelle Larmore's Limited Objection to ArciTerra Receiver's Sixth Application for Allowance and Reservation of Rights [ECF No. 426] [ECF No. 430]. The Sixth Fee Application is fully briefed, submitted and pending Court disposition as of the date of this Eighth Status Report.

iii. Seventh Fee Application

118. On November 18, 2025, the Receiver filed the Seventh Application of Receiver for Allowance and Payment of Professional Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for the Period July 1, 2025 – September 30, 2025 [ECF No. 440]. The only limited objection to the Application was Relief Defendant Michelle Larmore's Limited Objection, filed December 3, 2025 [ECF No. 446]. On December 10, 2025, the Receiver filed the Receiver's Reply to Michelle Larmore's Limited Objection to ArciTerra Receiver's Seventh Application for Allowance and Reservation of Rights [ECF No. 448]. The Seventh Fee Application is fully briefed, submitted and pending Court disposition as of the date of this Eighth Status Report.

iv. Eighth Fee Application

119. Subsequent to this reporting period, on February 17, 2026, the Receiver filed the Eighth Application of Receiver for Allowance and Payment of Professional Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for the Period October 1, 2025 – December 31, 2025 [ECF No. 491].



IV. Receivership Entities

120. The Receiver continues to independently research and assess corporate entities associated with the Defendants, and as applicable, Relief Defendants, and their relevance to the Receivership Estate. In addition, the Receiver's efforts to identify relevant corporate entities associated with and under the control of the Defendants that were not initially included as Receivership Entities or as Entities Subject to the Asset Freeze (Exhibits A and B to the Receivership Order) is ongoing. To date, the Receivership Team has identified approximately 100 previously undisclosed ArciTerra corporate entities affiliated with ArciTerra and/or Jonathan Larmore, that had not been included in the Exhibits to the Receivership Order.
121. As a result of his analysis, the Receiver filed a motion to designate additional Receivership Entities on April 10, 2025 [ECF No. 332]. The entities included in this motion that were not explicitly included in the Receivership Order are:
- a. Spike Holdings, LLC,
 - b. Moynahan Investments, LLC,
 - c. Jonathan M. Larmore LLC,
 - d. JML BC G400, LLC,
 - e. JML Business Consulting LLC,
 - f. Wawasee Family Investments Limited Partnership,
 - g. ArciTerra Strategic Income Advisor LLC,
 - h. ArciTerra Note Fund II Investment Company, LLC,
 - i. ArciTerra Note Fund III Investment Company, LLC,
 - j. ArciTerra Strategic Retail, LLC,
 - k. 925 W. Marion/960 W. Olympia FL, LLC,
 - l. 1333 Ryneerson LLC,
 - m. ArciTerra Walcent Portfolio I, LLC,
 - n. Morrison Island, LLC,
 - o. HV Gardens, LLC,
 - p. ArciTerra Strategic Retail - Echelon, LLC,
 - q. ArciTerra Strategic Retail - Forum KY, LLC, and
 - r. ArciTerra Strategic Retail - Plaza OK, LLC.
122. Certain limited objections were filed. On June 6, 2025, the Receiver filed a reply [ECF No. 377] to objections to the Receiver's motion [ECF No. 332].



123. Additionally, on June 4, 2025, the Receiver filed a second motion [ECF No. 372] to designate additional Receivership Entities. The entities included in this motion that were not explicitly included in the Receivership Order are:

- a. Brewhouse Fishville, LLC,
- b. Brewhouse I, LLC, and
- c. Village Brewhouse, LLC.

124. The Receiver's motions to designate additional Receivership Entities (and objections and other challenges thereto) are fully briefed, submitted and pending Court disposition as of the date of this Eighth Status Report.

V. Receivership Assets

125. The Receiver is managing, preserving, and disposing of assets. Moreover, the Receiver continues to research Receivership Entities and the previously undisclosed entities to identify real property and other assets potentially of value to the Receivership Estate.

A. Commercial and Residential Properties

i. Commercial Properties

Closed Sales and Dispositions Summary and Receivership Financial Impact

126. As of January 31, 2026, the Receiver successfully closed the sales, or otherwise disposed, of 24 commercial properties, collectively generating a total of \$76,770,929 in gross sale proceeds. From these proceeds, the Receiver satisfied senior debt obligations of \$50,506,213. These figures include one property under the control of the Indiana Receiver, which was part of a cross-collateralized CMBS portfolio. Closing costs for the properties under the Receiver's control totaled \$2,799,696, yielding \$22,645,512 in net proceeds to the Receivership Estate. See **Exhibit 2** for more detail on these sales.

127. The Receiver sold or disposed of the commercial properties listed in the table below during prior reporting periods. Detailed summaries of the sale processes and financial outcomes can be found in Previous Status Reports. Each sale or disposition was conducted pursuant to Court approval.

ArciTerra Entity	Address
ATA Palencia St. Augustine FL, LLC	7440 US Highway 1 North St. Augustine, FL 32095
ATA Mercado St. Augustine FL, LLC	155, 159, 163, 167 Palencia Village Drive St. Augustine, FL 32095
Glenrosa 32, LLC	3200 E. Glenrosa Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85018



ArciTerra Entity	Address
1000 WEST MARION PG FL LLC	1000 W. Marion Avenue Punta Gorda, FL 33950
ATA Hiram Square GA, LLC	5157 Jimmy Lee Smith Parkway, Hiram, GA 30141
5339 Elvis Presley Boulevard Memphis TN, LLC	5339 Elvis Presley Boulevard Memphis, TN, 38116
700 North Grand Avenue Mt. Pleasant IA, LLC	700 North Grand Avenue Mt. Pleasant, IA 52641
8001 Vaughn Road Montgomery AL, LLC	8001 Vaughn Road Montgomery, AL 36116
601 Trenton Road McAllen TX, LLC	601 Trenton Road McAllen, TX 78504
60 Colonial Promenade Parkway Alabaster AL, LLC	60 Colonial Promenade Parkway Alabaster, AL 35007
81 Jameson Lane Greenville AL, LLC	81 Jameson Lane Greenville, AL 36037
752 South Andy Griffith Parkway Mt. Airy NC, LLC	752 S. Andy Griffith Parkway Mt. Airy, NC 27030
1921 Gallatin Pike Nashville TN, LLC	1921 Gallatin Pike North Madison, TN 37115
5450 US Highway 80 East Pearl MS, LLC	5450 US Highway 80 East Pearl, MS 39208
412 Cross Oaks Mall Plainwell MI, LLC	412 Cross Oaks Mall Plainwell, MI 49080
2513 E. North Street Kendallville IN, LLC	2513-2521 E North Street Kendallville, IN 46755
Walcent Elk/IN, LLC	2719 Emerson Drive Elkhart, IN 46514
900 West Marion Avenue FL, LLC	900 W. Marion Avenue Punta Gorda, FL
ArciTerra BP Olathe KS, LLC	12051 S Renner Boulevard Olathe, KS 66061
AT Olathe Outlot 5, LLC	15085 W 119th Street Olathe, KS 66602



Current Reporting Period Sale and Disposition Summary

128. Subsequent to this reporting period, on February 9, 2026, the Court issued an order approving the abandonment to the lender of four commercial properties. The Court (I) approved the abandonment of the property to the lender, in accordance with the Receiver's proposed deed and release; and (II) granted related relief. No general release was afforded to KS State Bank.

a. KS State Bank Asset Group, Nationwide

ArciTerra Entity	Address
ArciTerra FD Greeleyville SC, LLC	10000 US Highway 521 Greeleyville, SC 29056
ArciTerra VN Clarksville TN, LLC	2135 Lowes Drive Clarksville, TN 37040
ArciTerra VN Dickson TN, LLC	100 Lowes Road Dickson, TN 37055
ArciTerra WG Milwaukee WI, LLC	8488 Brown Deer Road Milwaukee, WI 53223
ArciTerra FD Bowman SC, LLC	6711 Charleston Highway Bowman, SC 29018

129. **Overview.** The KS State Bank Asset Group refers to five cross-collateralized properties across three states totaling over 42,000 square feet of commercial space. Three of the properties are vacant; the remaining two are single-tenant properties. One of the five properties, ArciTerra FD Bowman SC, LLC, was sold at a tax sale prior to the commencement of the Receivership.

130. **Asset Disposition or Further Action.** The Receiver and KS State Bank reached an agreement for the abandonment of the properties to the lender via deeds in lieu of foreclosure and for payment to the Receivership Estate to alleviate certain costs borne in maintaining the KS State Bank Asset Group. On December 15, 2024, the Receiver filed a Motion seeking approval for abandonment of the property [ECF No. 452]. Subsequent to this reporting period, on February 9, 2026, the Court approved abandonment of the properties [ECF No. 486]. Closing is expected to follow.



Sales and Dispositions Pending Court Approval

131. Below are material updates to the commercial property sales and dispositions that are pending Court disposition.

b. REIT 3650²⁰ Asset Group, Nationwide

ArciTerra Entity	Address
AT Auburn Plaza IN II, LLC AT Auburn Plaza Member, LLC	506 North Grandstaff Drive Auburn, IN 46706
ATA Lanier Fayetteville GA II, LLC ATA Lanier Fayetteville Member	320 W. Lanier Avenue Fayetteville, GA 30214
AT HL Burlington IA II, LLC AT HL Burlington Member, LLC	3351 Agency Street Burlington, IA 52601
AT Ville Platte LA II, LLC AT Ville Platte Member, LLC	915 E. LaSalle Street Ville Platte, LA 70586
AT Altus Cumberland GA II, LLC AT ALTUS Cumberland Member, LLC	2997 Cumberland Circle Atlanta, GA 30339
AT Sweden NY II, LLC AT Sweden Member, LLC	1651 Nathaniel Poole Trail Brockport, NY 14420
AT Eastman GA II, LLC AT Eastman Member, LLC	970 Indian Drive Eastman, GA 31023
AT New Lenox IL-Inline II, LLC AT New Lenox-IL Member, LLC	2021 East Laraway Road New Lenox, IL 60451
AT Longview TX II, LLC AT Longview Member, LLC	711 Estes Drive Longview, TX 75602
AT Seven Hills Aurora CO II, LLC AT Seven Hills Aurora Member, LLC	18511 E. Hampden Avenue Aurora, CO 80013
AT Mayodan NC II, LLC AT Mayodan Member, LLC	131 Commerce Drive Mayodan, NC 27027
AT PT Danville IL II, LLC AT PT Danville Member, LLC	22 West Newell Road Danville, IL 31082

132. **Overview.** The REIT 3650 Asset Group contains 14 total cross-collateralized properties across 9 states totaling over 500,000 square feet of commercial space. Two properties excluded from the Receivership are also part of the REIT 3650 Asset Group.²¹

²⁰ 3650 REIT Loan Servicing, LLC (“REIT 3650”) is the special loan servicer for the lender, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee, on behalf of the registered Holders of CSAIL 2020-C19 Commercial Mortgage Trust, Commercial Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2020-C19. REIT 3650 properties include secondary “Member” entity owners, tied to a mezzanine loan on the portfolio made by Quadrant Mezz Fund, LP.

²¹



133. **Lender Communications.** The Receiver continues to be in frequent and ongoing communication with the senior lender regarding all aspects of the REIT 3650 Asset Group, including loan servicing, property-level stabilization, reserve administration, draw request, insurance matters, tenant rent and lease related matters, and compliance with CMBS reporting requirements. Given the cross-collateralized structure of the portfolio, and the continued accrual of carrying costs pending resolution of the sale motion, substantial coordination is required to manage reserves, review and process funding requests, address property-level operational matters, and ensure compliance with loan covenants and servicing requirements. The Receiver continues to work closely with the lender and special servicer to preserve value, maintain operational stability across the portfolio, and protect the Receivership Estates' interests while the motion for the sale remains pending before the Court.
134. **Indiana Receiver.** Two of the properties in the REIT 3650 Asset Group are being managed by the Indiana Receiver. The Receiver remains in regular communication with the Indiana Receiver regarding the operation of these properties and the coordination necessary with the special servicer.
135. **Property Management.** Cushman continues to manage the properties, while the Receiver engages with leasing brokers to enhance stabilization efforts.
136. **Asset Disposition or Further Action.** On July 2, 2025, the Receiver filed a motion for sale of the REIT 3650 portfolio, seeking approval of the retention of Marcus & Millichap and the proposed procedures for the sale of the portfolio, including approval to use the sale proceeds to defease²² and satisfy the CMBS loan. To satisfy the CMBS loan, the defeasance would include the two properties managed by the Indiana Receiver. On July 16, 2025, certain limited objections to the Receiver's motion were filed by the mezzanine lender [ECF No. 399] and by Michelle Larmore [ECF No. 369]. The Receiver and the mezzanine lender agreed on a proposed order deferring issues related to the mezzanine lender's limited objection and filed a status report regarding the motion for the sale of the REIT 3650 portfolio on August 5, 2025 [ECF No. 411].
137. Michelle Larmore has not agreed, as she had previously, to reserve all her rights with respect to the substantive issues pending the sale so that the Receiver may proceed with the sale and defer any

²² To defease a loan is to remove the real estate as collateral and replace it with a portfolio of U.S. Treasury Securities or other high investment grade securities that generates a payment stream equivalent to the remaining debt service on the loan. In practice, the borrower purchases and pledges these securities to the lender or trustee, who then relies on the securities' cash flow—rather than the property's income—as the source of repayment. This structure allows the original note to remain in place for the benefit of CMBS bondholders, while simultaneously releasing the property from the CMBS lien so it can be sold, refinanced, or otherwise transferred free and clear of the existing mortgage. Any such transaction, including any proposed defeasance, shall be subject to the prior review and written approval of the lender and the applicable CMBS trust parties, including the master and/or special servicer, as required under the governing loan and servicing documents.



disputes. As articulated in the Receiver's replies [ECF Nos. 377 and 408], Michelle Larmore's limited objection is without merit and unjustifiably delays the sale process, jeopardizes the Receiver's efforts to sell the REIT 3650 portfolio's properties in a timely fashion, and puts at risk over \$11 million the Receivership Estates would save as a result of the Receiver's agreement with the lenders. As of August 5, 2025, the Receiver's motion (and objections and other challenges thereto) has been fully briefed, submitted and is currently pending Court disposition as of the date of this Eighth Status Report. Pending disposition of the Receiver's motion, the Receiver continues to operate and manage these properties.

Properties Under Stabilization and Disposition Review

138. Below are material updates to the commercial properties that the Receiver is managing and determining the appropriate disposition or further action:

c. AT New Lenox IL-Outlots, LLC, New Lenox, IL

ArciTerra Entity	Address
AT New Lenox IL-Outlots, LLC	E. Laraway Road New Lenox, IL 60451

139. **Overview.** AT New Lenox IL-Outlots, LLC is a Receivership Entity that owns two parcels of vacant land along East Laraway Road in New Lenox, Illinois. These two parcels reside adjacent to the New Lenox property in the REIT 3650 portfolio.
140. **Lender Communications.** There is no current mortgage on the property.
141. **Property Management.** The Receiver continues to manage the property.
142. **Asset Disposition or Further Action.** On December 10, 2025, the Receiver filed its Motion for the Sale of the New Lenox Outlots [ECF No. 447] seeking approval of the retention of Marcus & Millichap and the proposed procedures for the sale of the property through a public online auction process. The Receiver paid approximately \$117,000 for the 2020 through 2023 tax sale redemption of both parcels. In consultation with a broker, the Receiver previously concluded the estimated sale proceeds would exceed the tax sale redemption amounts. Given the proximity of these parcels to another property within the REIT 3650 portfolio, the Receiver has determined that it would be advantageous to auction these parcels concurrently with the REIT 3650 portfolio properties.



d. 925 W. Marion/960 W. Olympia FL, LLC, Punta Gorda, FL

ArciTerra Entity	Address
925 W. Marion/960 W. Olympia FL, LLC	925 W. Marion Avenue Punta Gorda, FL 33950
925 W. Marion/960 W. Olympia FL, LLC	960 W. Olympia Avenue Punta Gorda, FL 33950

143. **Overview.** 925 W. Marion/960 W. Olympia FL, LLC owns a residential house and an adjacent parcel of vacant land in Punta Gorda, Florida. The house is located at 925 W. Marion Avenue, Punta Gorda and the vacant parcel of land is located at 960 W. Olympia, Punta Gorda.
144. **Lender Communications.** The Receiver continues to be in contact with the lender regarding disposition and loan servicing.
145. **Property Management.** The Receiver deployed a general contractor to address the damage on the property following hurricane-related damage in 2024.
146. **Asset Disposition or Further Action.** The Receiver identified 925 W. Marion/960 W. Olympia FL, LLC as a corporate entity associated with and under the control of the Defendants that was not initially included as a Receivership Entity or as Entity Subject to the Asset Freeze (Exhibits A and B to the Receivership Order). On April 10, 2025, the Receiver filed a motion to designate additional Receivership Entities, which included 925 W. Marion/960 W. Olympia FL, LLC [ECF No. 332]. On June 16, 2025, Michelle Larmore filed an omnibus objection to the Receiver's motions [ECF No. 385]. The Court has not yet considered the Receiver's motion to designate additional Receivership Entities (see Section IV of this Sixth Status Report for more detail on the Receiver's motions to designate additional Receivership Entities). The Receiver has been in contact with the lender and is evaluating appropriate next steps for the disposition of 925 W. Marion/960 W. Olympia, pending Court approval.

ii. Residential Properties

147. Below are material updates to the residential properties that the Receiver is evaluating and determining the appropriate disposition or further action:

a. 751 W. Retta Esplanade FL, LLC, Punta Gorda, FL

Owner	Address
751 W. Retta Esplanade FL, LLC	751 W. Retta Esplanade Punta Gorda, FL 33950



- 148. **Overview.** 751 W. Retta Esplanade FL, LLC, a Receivership Entity, is the recorded owner of 751 W. Retta Esplanade (“751 W. Retta”) in Punta Gorda, Florida. The residential home is 4,280 square feet, consisting of five bedrooms and three baths. The home was built in 1993 and sits on 0.45 acres.
- 149. **Lender Communications.** Upon contacting the mortgage lender, Regions Mortgage, Inc. (“Regions”), the Receiver was informed that a foreclosure action had been initiated on January 24, 2024. The Receiver promptly coordinated with Counsel to issue a stay letter, halting the foreclosure process. On February 26, 2024, and March 18, 2024, Counsel and members of the Receivership Team met with Regions to discuss 751 W. Retta’s financial situation and future steps. On August 2, 2024, Regions filed motions to intervene in the SEC action to seek an amendment of the Receivership Order and Stay to protect its security interests, requesting that the Court add Regions and 751 W. Retta Esplanade FL, LLC to the list of Excluded Entities and Excluded Properties, allowing Regions to foreclose on its collateral and remit excess funds to the Receiver. As of November 12, 2024, the Court approved a stipulation [ECF No. 261] to modify the Asset Freeze on 751 W. Retta, permitting Regions to proceed with foreclosure. Per the stipulation, any net proceeds from the foreclosure sale, after Regions’ lien is satisfied, will be turned over to the Receiver, and Regions will provide an accounting report to the Receiver upon completion of the foreclosure sale. Regions Bank initiated foreclosure proceedings in May 2025. A final judgment of foreclosure has been entered, and the foreclosure auction has been scheduled
- 150. **Property Maintenance.** The Receiver deployed a general contractor to address the damage on the property following hurricane-related damage in 2024.
- 151. **Asset Disposition or Further Action.** The Receiver continues to coordinate with the lender regarding the foreclosure and disposition of the property, as approved by the Court.

b. 11751 North Black Point Road, Syracuse, IN

Owner	Address
Jonathan Larmore	11751 North Black Point Road Syracuse, IN 46567

- 152. **Overview.** Black Point Rd, LLC, a Receivership Entity, originally held the title for 11751 North Black Point Road, in Syracuse, Indiana (“Black Point Road”). The property is located on Lake Wawasee in Syracuse, Indiana, and is 7,154 square feet with seven bedrooms. The residential property was purchased in September 2018. In 2020, Jonathan Larmore transferred the property to himself, according to the Kosciusko County Auditor. Jonathan Larmore refinanced the property in 2020 with Wintrust Mortgage (“Wintrust”). The Receiver is aware of a notice of encumbrance filed by Jonathan Larmore dated January 18, 2023, that the property is leased to Leisuretown Rentals, LLC.



153. **Lender Communications.** The Receiver is engaged with the mortgage holder's counsel regarding the property and is coordinating with interested parties to assess rights and interests in the property.
154. **Property Maintenance.** The Receiver has not been notified of any property conditions requiring attention.
155. **Asset Disposition or Further Action.** On January 30, 2026, Wintrust Mortgage filed the *Secured Creditor's Motion for Relief From Stay, or Alternatively, Instructions to Compel Administration or Abandonment of Property Located at 11751 N Black Point Road, Syracuse, IN 46567* [ECF No. 478]. The Receiver is assessing his position.

c. 567 Mountain Village Blvd., Units 114-6 and 115-1, Telluride, CO

Owner	Address
FK Telluride LLC	567 Mountain Village Blvd, Unit 114-6 Telluride, CO, 81435
FK Telluride LLC	567 Mountain Village Blvd, Unit 115-1 Telluride, CO, 81435

156. **Overview.** FK Telluride LLC, a Receivership entity, is the recorded owner of a 5% fractional interest in Units 114-6 and 115-1 at 567 Mountain Village Boulevard in Telluride, Colorado. Each unit spans 1,677 square feet, featuring three bedrooms and three bathrooms.
157. **Lender Communications.** There is no active mortgage on either timeshare unit.
158. **Property Maintenance.** The Receiver has not been notified of any property conditions requiring attention.
159. **Asset Disposition or Further Action.**
160. The Receivership Team engaged in discussions with multiple timeshare brokerage firms to evaluate potential marketing strategies, pricing considerations, and anticipated timelines for disposition of the fractional interests. The Receivership Team is currently working with a selected timeshare broker to finalize the listing agreements and related engagement terms. Upon completion of the agreements, the Receiver intends to proceed with marketing and sale efforts for the timeshare units in order to maximize value for the Receivership Estate.

d. 1001 West Marion Avenue, Unit 21, Punta Gorda, FL

Owner	Address
Spike Holdings LLC	1001 West Marion Avenue, Unit 21 Punta Gorda, FL 33950

161. **Overview.** Spike Holdings LLC is the recorded owner of the 1001 West Marion Avenue, Unit 21 condominium in Punta Gorda, Florida. This single-family residence is 998 square feet with two bedrooms and two baths. The condominium is situated across the street from Fishermen's Village.
162. **Lender Communications.** There is no active mortgage on the unit.



163. **Property Maintenance.** The Receiver deployed a general contractor to oversee restoration of the unit following hurricane-related damage in 2024 and continues to manage the unit.
164. **Asset Disposition or Further Action.** The Receiver is assessing an appropriate disposition strategy, subject to Court approval.

e. 880 West Marion Avenue and 150 Shreve Street, Punta Gorda, FL

Owner	Address
Spike Holdings LLC	880 West Marion Avenue, Punta Gorda, FL 33950
Spike Holdings LLC	150 Shreve Street, Punta Gorda, FL 33950

165. **Overview.** Spike Holdings LLC is the recorded owner of both the 880 West Marion Avenue (“880 West Marion”) and 150 Shreve Street (“150 Shreve”) properties in Punta Gorda, Florida. 880 West Marion is a residential home spanning 1,041 square feet with two bedrooms and two baths. The property sits on 0.31 acres of land. 150 Shreve is a vacant plot of land, situated adjacent to 880 West Marion.
166. **Lender Communications.** The Receiver continues to be in contact with the mortgage holders.
167. **Property Maintenance.** The Receiver deployed a general contractor to address hurricane-related damage at the 880 West Marion property in 2024 and continues to manage the property.
168. **Asset Disposition or Further Action.** At the direction of the Receiver, the Receivership Team has engaged with the respective mortgage holders for these two properties. The Receiver will determine the next steps for disposition.

f. 8150 East Highland View Drive, Syracuse, IN

Owner	Address
HV Gardens LLC	8150 East Highland View Drive, Syracuse, IN 46547

169. **Overview.** HV Gardens, LLC, subject to the Asset Freeze, is the recorded owner of 8150 East Highland View Drive in Syracuse, Indiana (“8150 East Highland”). The residence, spanning 1,350 square feet, comprises three bedrooms and one and a half baths. The property is situated on a 0.3-acre lot.
170. **Lender Communications:** The property does not have an active mortgage.
171. **Property Maintenance.** The Receiver has not been notified of any property conditions requiring attention.
172. **Asset Disposition or Further Action.** Jonathan Larmore’s Counsel submitted a request to the Receiver’s Counsel for “*properties owned by Marcia Larmore that were purchased in the 1960s and 1990s that should be removed from the Receivership.*” The 8150 East Highland property was included in this



request. At the direction of the Receiver, the Receivership Team conducted research including public records and open sources to verify ownership. The Receiver is determining appropriate next steps.

g. 10507 North Grand Boulevard, Syracuse, IN

Owner	Address
Morrison Island LLC	10507 North Grand Boulevard, Syracuse, IN, 46567

173. **Overview.** Morrison Island LLC, subject to the Asset Freeze, is the recorded owner of 10507 North Grand Boulevard in Syracuse, Indiana (“North Grand”). The residence, spanning 3,296 square feet, comprises three bedrooms and one and a half baths. The property is situated on 0.22 acres of land.
174. **Lender Communications.** The property does not have an active mortgage.
175. **Property Maintenance.** The Receiver has not been notified of any property conditions requiring attention.
176. **Asset Disposition or Further Action.** Jonathan Larmore’s Counsel submitted a request to the Receiver’s Counsel for “*properties owned by Marcia Larmore that were purchased in the 1960s and 1990s that should be removed from the Receivership.*” The North Grand property was included in this request. At the direction of the Receiver, the Receivership Team conducted research including public records and open sources to verify ownership. The Receiver is determining appropriate next steps.

h. 3127 LaBalme Trail, Fort Wayne, IN

Owner	Address
Marcia Larmore	3127 LaBalme Trail Fort Wayne, IN 46804

177. **Overview.** 3127 LaBalme Trail in Fort Wayne, Indiana (“3127 LaBalme Trail”) is a residential home built in 1968. It encompasses 4,810 square feet, including a 1,972 square foot basement, and comprises seven bedrooms and four bathrooms.
178. **Lender Communications.** The property does not have an active mortgage.
179. **Property Maintenance.** The Receiver has not been notified of any property conditions requiring attention.
180. **Asset Disposition or Further Action.** Jonathan Larmore’s counsel submitted a request to the Receiver’s Counsel for “*properties owned by Marcia Larmore that were purchased in the 1960s and 1990s that should be removed from the Receivership.*” The 3127 LaBalme Trail property was included in this request. At the direction of the Receiver, the Receivership Team conducted research including public records and open sources to verify ownership. The Receiver determined that 3127 LaBalme Trail is not owned by a Receivership Entity. According to county assessor records, the property is owned by Marcia Larmore. Further, the residence is currently vacant.



i. 7900 W. Jefferson Boulevard, #305, Fort Wayne, IN 46804

Owner	Address
Marcia Larmore	7900 W. Jefferson Boulevard, #305 Fort Wayne, IN 46804

181. **Overview.** 7900 W. Jefferson Boulevard, #305, in Fort Wayne, Indiana (“7900 W. Jefferson”) is a commercial space within a medical condominium complex, currently occupied by Lutheran Health medical offices.
182. **Lender Communications.** The unit does not have an active mortgage.
183. **Property Maintenance.** The Receiver has not been notified of any property conditions requiring attention.
184. **Asset Disposition or Further Action.** Jonathan Larmore’s counsel submitted a request to the Receiver’s Counsel for “*properties owned by Marcia Larmore that were purchased in the 1960s and 1990s that should be removed from the Receivership.*” The 7900 W. Jefferson property was included in this request. At the direction of the Receiver, the Receivership Team conducted research in public records and open sources to verify ownership. The Receiver determined that a Receivership Entity does not own 7900 W. Jefferson. According to county assessor records, Marcia Larmore owns the property.

B. Other Assets

185. There are three watercraft which are or were property of the Receivership Estate. One that was in pre-Receivership arrest and dry dock in the Eastern District of Virginia (“Watercraft #1”), one that was significantly damaged, notwithstanding insurance claims that did not result in repair and restoration, and in dry storage in Indiana (“Watercraft #2”), and one in Punta Gorda, Florida (“Watercraft #3”).
186. The Receiver previously detailed the financial outcomes for two of the three watercraft listed below (Watercraft #1 and Watercraft #2) in the Fourth Status Report at Section V.B.
187. Watercraft #3 has not yet been sold. Watercraft #3 is a 28-foot Bull Dog A&M Tiki Boat purchased new by Jonathan Larmore on July 31, 2023, for \$105,120. Jonathan Larmore paid for Watercraft #3 on May 3, 2023, with money from a Spike Holdings bank account at KS State Bank that Spike Holdings received the prior day from a Glenrosa bank account at KS State Bank.
188. At the direction of the Receiver, Watercraft #3 has been serviced and is available for sale.
189. The Receivership Team continues to identify other assets included in the ArciTerra Estate and to physically locate other known assets to bring them into the Receivership Estate.



VI. Claims

190. As the Receivership progresses, the Receiver will implement a mechanism to validate claims, determine their eligibility and compensate eligible claimants subject to Court approval of the filing and distribution process.
191. In addition, the Receiver is working to identify potential claims to assets currently outside of the Receivership Estate, or against third parties.

A. Claims Processing and Administration

192. The Receiver is in the process of identifying liabilities from the books and records of the Receivership Entities and identifying potential additional liabilities that may result in claims.
193. The Receiver developed and issued a request for proposal to establish a claims administration process including developing a web-based solution to intake claims related to investors, vendors, and other stakeholders. The Receiver solicited proposals from four qualified vendors. The Receiver reviewed proposals received and selected a Claims Administrator based on the firm's relevant experience and proposed fees. The Receiver retained the services of Omni Agent Solutions, Inc. as Claims Administrator, subject to the Court's approval, which is pending with the Court [ECF No. 451]. The Claims Administrator is in the process of working with the Receivership Team to set up the claims processes and systems.
194. The Claims Administrator is in the process of and has been working with the Receivership Team to set up the claims processes and systems. As part of that process, the Receiver and his professionals have identified more than 14,000 potentially interested parties, including 3,900 potential investors (equity holders and note holders) who own units in the various Investor Funds and other creditors and parties in interest who may have claims against, or assert equity interests in, the Receivership Estate.
195. On December 12, 2025, the Receiver filed a motion [ECF No.451] seeking to (I) establish a bar date for filing proofs of claim against the Receivership Estates, (II) authorize the retention of Omni Agent Solutions, Inc. as claims and noticing agent, (III) approve claim form and notice procedures, and (IV) grant related relief. The motion is pending before the Court as of the filing of this Status Report.
196. Jonathan Larmore filed a limited response to the motion on December 29, 2025 [ECF No. 458], seeking to have a right to object to any particular claim and to bring the matter before the Court.
197. The Receiver filed a preliminary reply to Jonathan Larmore's limited response on December 31, 2025 [ECF No. 460] explaining that the Receiver and Jonathan Larmore sought to reach a consensual resolution. The process is ongoing as of the date of this Status Report.



B. Potential Liabilities to Creditors and Claims Against Parties

198. As the Receiver continues to analyze the financial affairs of the Receivership Estate, the Receiver is mindful that there may be claims against the Relief Defendants and others for substantial value transferred out of the ArciTerra entities at the expense of creditors and investors. The Receiver is in the process of analyzing and identifying these claims and will take action to the extent appropriate.

i. American Express

199. As discussed in the Second Status Report, the Receivership Team analyzed AMEX statements located to date. As part of this analysis, the Receivership Team reviewed statements for the Business Platinum AMEX card for ArciTerra Companies and determined that there is an unpaid outstanding pre-receivership balance on the Business Platinum card of \$293,466.

200. In general, to the extent expenses are determined not to have been business related, the Receiver may seek to recover funds from the relevant parties as they relate to charges that should not have been borne by ArciTerra.

ii. Claim to Airplane Proceeds

201. In the Second Status Report, the Receiver explained that the Receivership has a claim to a portion of the proceeds from the sale of a plane sold on August 18, 2023 (see Section VI.C.ii of the Second Status Report). This claim is unchanged.

iii. Litigation Claims of the Receivership

202. The Receiver will bring actions and legal proceedings against various parties on behalf of the Receivership Estate in the future, as allowed and contemplated for in the Receivership Order at paragraph 24, if warranted. The Receiver assesses the cost/benefit of asserting claims, as investigations and litigation are costly endeavors and the Receiver does not intend to expend Receivership assets unless there is a supportable claim and a high likelihood of recovering funds to the benefit of the victims.



VII. Receiver's Motions Pending Before the Court

203. As discussed in various sections throughout this Eighth Status Report, the following motions made by the Receiver are fully briefed, submitted and pending Court disposition, together, in certain circumstances, with certain opposition and procedural motions related thereto.

- a. ECF No. 332: *Receiver's Motion for an Order (I) Designating Additional Receivership Entities; and (II) Granting Related Relief*, filed April 10, 2025.
- b. ECF No. 369: *Receiver's Motion for Orders (I) Approving the Auction and Bidding Procedures for the Sale of Substantially All Assets of Fudge Is Us PG, LLC; (II) Approving the Sale of Substantially All Assets of Fudge Is Us PG, LLC, Free and Clear of All Liens, Claims, Encumbrances and Interests; and (III) Granting Related Relief*, filed May 31, 2025.
- c. ECF No. 370: *Receiver's Motion for Orders (I) Approving the Auction and Bidding Procedures for the Sale of Substantially All Assets of VBH PG, LLC; (II) Approving the Sale of Substantially All Assets of VBH PG, LLC, Free and Clear of All Liens, Claims, Encumbrances and Interests; and (III) Granting Related Relief*, filed May 31, 2025.
- d. ECF No. 372: *Receiver's Second Motion for an Order (I) Designating Additional Receivership Entities; and (II) Granting Related Relief*, filed June 4, 2025.
- e. ECF No. 394: *Receiver's Motion for Orders (I) Approving (A) the Engagement and Compensation of Marcus & Millichap Real Estate Investment Services as Broker to Sell the Real Properties Subject to the CMBS Loan Serviced by 3650 REIT Loan Servicing LLC And (B) the Sale and Auction Procedures for the Sale of the Properties; (II) Approving (A) the Sale of the Properties, Free and Clear of All Liens, Claims, Encumbrances and Interests, (B) the Engagement and Compensation of the Defeasance Consultant, and (C) the Use of the Sale Proceeds to Defeasance and Satisfy the CMBS Loan; and (III) Granting Related Relief*, filed July 2, 2025.
- f. ECF No. 420: *Sixth Application of Receiver for Allowance and Payment of Professional Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for the Period April 1, 2025 – June 30, 2025*, filed August 15, 2025.
- g. ECF No. 440: *Seventh Application of Receiver for Allowance and Payment of Professional Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for the Period July 1, 2025 – September 30, 2025*, filed on November 18, 2025.
- h. ECF No.451: *Receiver's Motion for an Order (I) Establishing Bar Date for Filing of Proofs of Claim Against the Receivership Estates; (II) Authorizing the Retention of Omni Agent Solutions, Inc., as Claims and Noticing Agent; (III) Approving Claim Form and Notice Procedures; and (IV) Granting Related Relief, as filed*, filed December 12, 2025.



VIII. Future Actions and Recommendations

204. The Receiver's work continues in accordance with the duties laid out in the Receivership Order. The Receiver is managing the Receivership Assets and stabilizing cash flows from income-generating assets, paying real estate taxes and property vendors, and analyzing properties and assets for disposition or further action. The Receiver will continue to manage the remaining real estate of the Receivership and will continue to entertain viable acquisition offers for all or part of the Receivership Assets.
205. As a result of his analysis, the Receiver sought relief with respect to certain entities and intends to seek relief with respect to others in the exercise of his fiduciary duties.
206. The Receiver will continue to work with SAX to prepare and file the necessary federal and state tax returns for the relevant Receivership Entities, and issue Forms K-1 to investors.
207. The Receiver continues his analysis of the Receivership Entities, including:
 - a. Continuing to trace and analyze relevant transactions through books and records, including bank accounts to (I) establish how much may be owed to investors; and (II) identify any funds related to improper transactions that the Receiver may potentially recover to address investor and creditor claims.
 - b. Determining the ultimate disposition of funds, if any, diverted from creditors and investors to other parties.
208. The Receiver will work with the retained Claims Administrator, upon approval by the Court, to provide broad notice to more than 14,000 parties, collect, and process claims.
209. The Receiver will develop and propose a plan of distribution.
210. The Receiver intends to continue to seek Court approval of his actions going forward, as necessary and appropriate under governing law and the Receivership Order.
211. Based on the Receiver's work as described above, the Receiver recommends that the Receivership continue consistent with the Receivership Order. The reasons for continuing the Receivership include:
 - a. **ArciTerra Operations.** The Receiver is actively managing ArciTerra and related entities and properties, as detailed above. The nature of the Receivership Entities requires the ongoing management of the properties and corporate entities.
 - b. **Asset Disposition.** The Receiver, in accordance with Paragraph 6(N) of the Receivership Order, will continue to prepare real property and other assets, as appropriate and approved by the Court, for sale or further action.
 - c. **Analysis of Transactions.** The Receiver continues to analyze the financial affairs of the Receivership Estate. The Receiver anticipates responses to subpoenas issued to date and will continue to issue additional subpoenas and will review and analyze the additional books and



records. The Receiver is mindful as analysis is undertaken that there may be claims against the Defendant, the Relief Defendants and others for substantial value transferred out of the ArciTerra entities at the expense of creditors and investors. The Receiver continues to analyze these claims and will take action to the extent appropriate.

- d. **Analysis to Determine Investor Obligations.** The Receiver's conclusions concerning the pervasive commingling of so many Investor Funds entities through ASRA may affect the determination of how the Receivership Estate will satisfy claims. The Receiver intends to make a proposal to address claims of creditors, investors, and other parties.
- e. **Tax.** The Receiver is analyzing the federal and state income tax obligations of the Receivership Entities and determining the tax implications at the entity and investor levels, including filing delinquent tax returns and Forms K-1, where appropriate.

212. The Receiver reserves all rights to amend or supplement the information set forth herein and assert the rights of the Receivership as against any party, as appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

February 20, 2026

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "A. Applbaum".

Allen D. Applbaum
Receiver of ArciTerra Companies, LLC and Related Entities

EXHIBIT 1

Commercial Properties						
No.	Portfolio/Single Property	Portfolio Name or Asset Group	Owner (ArciTerra Entity)	Center Name	Address	Property Manager
1	Single Property	Glenrosa32	Glenrosa 32, LLC*	MorningStar	3200 E Glenrosa Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85018	N/A - Sold
2	Single Property	Mercado/Palencia	ATA Palencia St. Augustine FL, LLC*	Palencia	7440 US Highway 1 North St. Augustine, FL 32095	N/A - Sold
3	Single Property	Mercado/Palencia	ATA Mercado St. Augustine FL, LLC*	Mercado	155, 159, 163, 167 Palencia Village Dr. St. Augustine, FL 32095	N/A - Sold
4	Portfolio	REIT 3650	AT Auburn Plaza IN II, LLC AT Auburn Plaza Member, LLC	Auburn Plaza	506 North Grandstaff Drive Auburn, IN 46706	Cushman & Wakefield
5	Portfolio	REIT 3650	ATA Lanier Fayetteville GA II, LLC ATA Lanier Fayetteville Member	Main Street Building	320 W. Lanier Ave. Fayetteville, GA 30214	Cushman & Wakefield
6	Portfolio	REIT 3650	AT HL Burlington IA II, LLC AT HL Burlington Member, LLC	Burlington Plaza West	3351 Agency St. Burlington, IA 52601	Cushman & Wakefield
7	Portfolio	REIT 3650	AT Ville Platte LA II, LLC AT Ville Platte Member, LLC	Ville Platte	915 E. LaSalle St. Ville Platte, LA 70586	Cushman & Wakefield
8	Portfolio	REIT 3650	AT Altus Cumberland GA II, LLC AT ALTUS Cumberland Member, LLC	Cumberland Place	2997 Cumberland Cir. Atlanta, GA 30339	Cushman & Wakefield
9	Portfolio	REIT 3650	AT Sweden NY II, LLC AT Sweden Member, LLC	Sweden	1651 Nathaniel Poole Trl. Brockport, NY 14420	Cushman & Wakefield
10	Portfolio	REIT 3650	AT Eastman GA II, LLC AT Eastman Member, LLC	Eastman Shopping Center	970 Indian Dr. Eastman, GA 31023	Cushman & Wakefield
11	Portfolio	REIT 3650	AT New Lenox IL-Inline II, LLC AT New Lenox-IL Member, LLC	New Lenox	2021 East Laraway Rd. New Lenox, IL 60451	Cushman & Wakefield
12	Portfolio	REIT 3650	AT Longview TX II, LLC AT Longview Member, LLC	Longview	711 Estes Dr. Longview, TX 75602	Cushman & Wakefield
13	Portfolio	REIT 3650	AT Seven Hills Aurora CO II, LLC AT Seven Hills Aurora Member, LLC	Seven Hills Plaza	18511 E. Hampden Ave. Aurora, CO 80013	Cushman & Wakefield
14	Portfolio	REIT 3650	AT Mayodan NC II, LLC AT Mayodan Member, LLC	Mayodan	131 Commerce Dr. Mayodan, NC 27027	Cushman & Wakefield
15	Portfolio	REIT 3650	AT PT Danville IL II, LLC AT PT Danville Member, LLC	Pine Tree Plaza	22 West Newell Rd. Danville, IL 31082	Cushman & Wakefield
16	Portfolio	Rialto	5339 ELVIS PRESLEY BOULEVARD MEMPHIS TN, LLC*	Belvedere Commons	5339 Elvis Presley Boulevard Memphis, TN, 38116	N/A - Sold
17	Portfolio	Rialto	700 North Grand Avenue Mt. Pleasant IA, LLC*	Orscheln's Center	700 North Grand Ave. Mt. Pleasant, IA 52641	N/A - Sold
18	Portfolio	Rialto	8001 Vaughn Road Montgomery AL, LLC*	Festival Plaza	8001 Vaughn Road Montgomery, AL 36116	N/A - Sold
19	Portfolio	Rialto	601 Trenton Road McAllen TX, LLC*	McAllen Plaza	601 Trenton Road McAllen, TX 78504	N/A - Sold
20	Portfolio	Rialto	60 Colonial Promenade Parkway Alabaster AL, LLC*	Shoppes at Alabaster	60 Colonial Promenade Parkway Alabaster, AL 35007	N/A - Sold
21	Portfolio	Rialto	81 Jameson Lane Greenville AL, LLC*	Greenville Plaza	81 Jameson Lane Greenville, AL 36037	N/A - Sold
22	Portfolio	Rialto	752 South Andy Griffith Parkway Mt. Airy NC, LLC*	Wachovia Shops Plaza	752 S. Andy Griffith Parkway Mt. Airy, NC 27030	N/A - Sold
23	Portfolio	Rialto	1921 Gallatin Pike Nashville TN, LLC*	Men's Wearhouse	1921 Gallatin Pike North Madison, TN 37115	N/A - Sold
24	Portfolio	Rialto	5450 US Highway 80 East Pearl MS, LLC*	Office Depot Plaza	5450 US Highway 80 East Pearl, MS 39208	N/A - Sold
25	Portfolio	Rialto	412 Cross Oaks Mall Plainwell MI, LLC*	Plainwell Plaza	412 Cross Oaks Mall Plainwell, MI 49080	N/A - Sold
26	Portfolio	Rialto	2513 E. North Street Kendallville IN, LLC*	Kendallville Plaza	2513-2521 E North St. Kendallville, IN 46755	N/A - Sold

Commercial Properties						
No.	Portfolio/Single Property	Portfolio Name or Asset Group	Owner (ArciTerra Entity)	Center Name	Address	Property Manager
27	Single Property	Rialto	ATA Hiram Square GA, LLC*	Hiram Square	5157 Jimmy Lee Smith Parkway Hiram, GA 30141	N/A - Sold
28	Portfolio	National REIT/KS State Bank	ArciTerra FD Greeleyville SC, LLC**	Available - Greeleyville (former Family Dollar)	10000 US Highway 521 Greeleyville, SC 29056	N/A - Abandoned**
29	Portfolio	National REIT/KS State Bank	ArciTerra VN Clarksville TN, LLC**	Angry Crab - Clarksville	2135 Lowes Dr. Clarksville, TN 37040	N/A - Abandoned**
30	Portfolio	National REIT/KS State Bank	ArciTerra VN Dickson TN, LLC**	Lowe's Outparcel - Dickson	100 Lowes Road Dickson, TN 37055	N/A - Abandoned**
31	Portfolio	National REIT/KS State Bank	ArciTerra WG Milwaukee WI, LLC**	Available - Milwaukee	8488 Brown Deer Road Milwaukee, WI 53223	N/A - Abandoned**
32	Portfolio	National REIT/KS State Bank	ArciTerra FD Bowman SC, LLC***	Available - Bowman (former Family Dollar)	6711 Charleston Highway Bowman, SC 29018	N/A - Sold Pre-Receivership***
33	Single Property	StanCorp/REIT 1	Walcent Elk/IN, LLC*	Northfield Plaza	2719 Emerson Dr. Elkhart, IN 46514	N/A - Sold
34	Single Property	StanCorp/Fishermen's Village	900 West Marion Avenue FL, LLC*	900 W. Marion	900 W. Marion Ave Punta Gorda, FL	N/A - Sold
35	Single Property	Bass Pro	ArciTerra BP Olathe KS, LLC*	Bass Pro - Olathe	12051 S Renner Blvd. Olathe, KS 66061	N/A - Sold
36	Single Property	Olathe Outlot 5	AT Olathe Outlot 5, LLC**	Olathe Outlot 5 (Granite City Grill)	15085 W 119th St. Olathe KS 66602	N/A - Abandoned**
37	Single Property	New Lenox Outparcel	AT New Lenox IL-Outlots, LLC	New Lenox Outparcel	E. Laraway Rd. New Lenox, IL 60451	Receiver
38	Single Property	1000 W Marion	1000 WEST MARION PG FL LLC*	1000 W Marion	1000 W. Marion Avenue Punta Gorda, FL 33950	N/A - Sold
39	Single Property	925 W Marion/960 W Olympia	925 W. Marion/960 W. Olympia FL, LCC	925 W. Marion	925 W. Marion Ave. Punta Gorda, FL 33950	Receiver
40	Single Property	926 W Marion/960 W Olympia	925 W. Marion/960 W. Olympia FL, LCC	960 W. Olympia	960 W. Olympia Ave. Punta Gorda, FL 33950	Receiver

*The Receiver sold this property through a Court-approved sale process.

**The Receiver abandoned this property through a Court-approved process.

***Property was sold at a pre-Receivership tax sale. The Receiver did not pursue any claim to unwind the pre-Receivership tax sale, as any attempt to reclaim this property would incur costs that exceed the amount of funds available to the applicable Receivership Entity.

Residential Properties			
No.	Owner	Address	Property Type
1	751 W Retta Esplanade FL, LLC	751 W Retta Esplanade, Punta Gorda, FL 33950	Residential
2	Spike Holdings LLC	1001 West Marion Avenue, Unit 21, Punta Gorda, FL 33950	Residential; Condominium Unit
3	Spike Holdings LLC	880 West Marion Avenue, Punta Gorda, FL 33950	Residential
4	Spike Holdings LLC	150 Shreve Street, Punta Gorda, FL 33950	Vacant Land
5	Jonathan Larmore	11751 Black Point Road, Syracuse, IN 46567	Residential
6	HV Gardens LLC	8150 East Highland View Drive, Syracuse, IN 46547	Residential
7	Morrison Island LLC	10507 N. Grand Boulevard, Syracuse, IN 46567	Residential
8	FK Telluride LLC	567 Mountain Village Blvd, Unit 114-6 Telluride, CO 81435	Residential; Timeshare Unit
9	FK Telluride LLC	567 Mountain Village Blvd, Unit 115-1, Telluride, CO 81435	Residential; Timeshare Unit
10	Marcia Larmore	3127 LaBalme Trail, Fort Wayne, IN 46804	Residential
11	Marcia Larmore	7900 W. Jefferson Boulevard, Suite #305, Fort Wayne, IN 46804	Medical Condominium

EXHIBIT 2

Net Proceeds to the Receivership from Asset Dispositions				
Property	Sale Price	Debt Payoff	Closing Costs**	Net Proceeds to Receivership
<i>Properties Sold in Prior Reporting Periods (Before October 31, 2025)</i>				
Glenrosa	\$28,250,000	(\$21,277,269)	(\$464,004)	\$6,508,727
Mercado	\$6,500,000	(1,789,444)	(503,298)	\$4,207,258
Palencia	\$4,175,000	(982,442)	(304,542)	\$2,888,016
1000 W. Marion	\$2,500,000	(2,198,621)	(81,724)	\$219,655
Rialto Portfolio*	\$24,665,929	(17,142,884)	(761,520)	\$5,869,874
Hiram Square	\$5,525,000	(3,659,140)	(497,250)	\$1,697,874
Walcent	\$1,605,000	(1,179,050)	(164,920)	\$293,130
900 W. Marion	\$3,050,000	(2,277,363)	(11,713)	\$471,705
Bass Pro	\$500,000	0	(10,726)	\$489,274
Grand Total	\$76,770,929	(\$50,506,213)	(\$2,799,696)	\$22,645,512

EXHIBIT 3

Summary of Investor Funds					
Offering	Date of POM	Investor Count	Total Raised from Investors	Full Cycle/ Ceased Operations	Note Holders or Investors
ArciTerra Note Fund III, LLC	03/21/08	541	\$25,000,000	n/a	Note Holders
ArciTerra REIT, Inc.	04/03/06	498	20,258,940	n/a	Equity Holders
ArciTerra Note Fund II, LLC	11/17/06	449	20,000,000	n/a	Note Holders
ArciTerra National REIT, Inc.	10/28/08	388	16,330,350	n/a	Equity Holders
* ArciTerra Note Fund I, LLC	09/16/05	229	10,000,000	10/29/09	Note Holders
ASI Belleville Crossing IL, LLC	09/16/11	161	7,376,760	n/a	Equity Holders
Whitefish Opportunity Fund, LLC	05/04/07	157	6,344,000	02/15/13	Equity Holders
ASR Wheatland IL, LLC	03/01/15	112	5,254,834	n/a	Equity Holders
ASR Forum KY	11/28/12	59	5,046,882	09/11/17	Equity Holders
ASR Plaza OK	04/26/13	105	4,750,518	n/a	Equity Holders
ASR Briargate & Linden IL, LLC	06/16/14	75	4,245,194	n/a	Equity Holders
ASR Roswell/Cumberland	09/10/10	73	3,050,000	12/31/19	Equity Holders
ASR Plainfield Village IN, LLC	11/12/15	15	3,025,000	12/31/19	Equity Holders
ASR KY & GA	10/10/12	78	2,470,281	12/31/17	Equity Holders
ASR Echelon	02/22/11	63	2,205,000	n/a	Equity Holders
* ASR Kiowa Village	03/21/11	41	2,173,333	04/15/13	Equity Holders
* ASR Johns Creek	01/20/11	63	1,850,000	05/20/13	Equity Holders
ASR Trinity Place TN, LLC	06/30/11	62	1,838,333	09/01/19	Equity Holders
ASR Centerville & Colony GA, LLC	11/30/15	7	1,210,869	n/a	Equity Holders
Total		3,176	\$142,430,294		

* These Investor Funds are not part of the current receivership scope. All of these Investor Funds have been closed.

EXHIBIT 4

ArciTerra Fund Timeline: 1/1/2006 through 12/23/2023

Chart Key	
△	Refinancing
▲	Final Property Sale / Disposition
■	Capital Raise Period
■	Fund Operational and Dividend Distribution
■	Fund Operational and No Dividend Distribution

Chronological Order

Offering	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Total Capital Raised	Total Paid to Investors	Return of Capital	
Arciterra REIT, Inc.		■	■	■	■	■	■	■	△	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	\$ 20,258,940	\$ 6,039,462	N	
Note Fund II		■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 12,571,822	N	
Whitefish Opportunity Fund		■	■	■	■	■	■	■										closed 2/2013	\$ 6,344,000	\$ -	N	
Note Fund III			■	■	■	■	■	■	△	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 13,564,765	N	
National REIT, Inc.			■	■	■	■	■	■	△	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	\$ 16,330,350	\$ 12,905,069	N	
ASR Roswell/Cumberland					■	■	■	■	△	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	▲	closed 2/2020	\$ 3,050,000	\$ 5,511,866	Y
ASR Echelon						■	■	■	△	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	△	\$ 2,205,000	\$ 905,184	N	
ASR Trinity Place						■	■	■	△	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	▲	closed 9/2019	\$ 1,838,333	\$ 3,052,271	Y
ASI Belleville						■	■	■	△	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	△	\$ 7,376,760	\$ 4,315,732	N	
ASR KY & GA							■	■	△	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	▲	closed 12/2017	\$ 2,470,281	\$ 2,801,411	Y
ASR Forum KY							■	■	△	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	▲	closed 9/2017	\$ 5,046,882	\$ 11,299,680	Y
ASR Plaza OK							■	■	△	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	▲	\$ 4,750,518	\$ 1,131,309	N	
ASR Briargate & Linden IL									■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	△	\$ 4,245,194	\$ 1,337,777	N	
ASR Wheatland									■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	△	\$ 5,254,834	\$ 1,628,513	N	
ASR Plainfield Village										■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	▲	closed 2/2020	\$ 3,025,000	\$ 3,886,299	Y
ASR Centerville & Colony											■	■	■	■	■	■	■	△	\$ 1,210,869	\$ 65,229	N	

Notes:

1. For certain Investor Funds, earlier Investors into the Fund began to receive quarterly distributions while the Capital Raise Period was st
2. "Closed" refers to the date Investors received their return of capital or were otherwise notified that the Fund would cease operations.

EXHIBIT 5

Network Graph of Modeled Transactions - Q2 2017 (with ASRA in yellow at the center)




EXHIBIT 6

Summary of Change In Operating Cash Balances
December 21, 2023 through January 31, 2026

Asset Group	Balance as of 12/21/2023	Net Change	Balance as of 01/31/2026	Cash Availability
<i>Operating Businesses</i>				
Village Brewhouse	\$55,300	\$1,323,197	\$1,378,497	Dedicated
Simply Sweet	\$58,570	\$413,870	\$472,440	Dedicated
<i>Commercial Properties</i>				
Glenrosa (Sold)	\$556,500	(\$556,500)	\$0	Restricted
REIT 3650	\$186,400	\$2,052,784	\$2,239,184	Restricted
Rialto (Sold)	\$120,400	\$880,189	\$1,000,589	Restricted
Bass Pro (Sold)	\$26,000	\$760,256	\$786,256	Other
Single Properties (Sold)	\$40,600	\$207,674	\$248,274	Other

[1] The Receiver sold the Glenrosa property and business on August 9, 2024 through a Court-approved sale process.

[2] The Receiver sold these properties on December 23 and 24, 2024, through a Court-approved sale process.

[3] The Receiver sold the Bass Pro property on June 26, 2025 through a Court-approved sale process.

[4] The Receiver sold single properties, including Mercado, Palencia, Walcent, Hiram Square, and 900 W. Marion through Court-approved sale processes.

ATTACHMENT A

1 **BARRY L. BRODY, P.C.**
2 **005227**
3 Attorney at Law
4 10446 North 74th Street
5 Suite 230
6 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258
7 (602) 381-0111
8 grh@divorceaz.com

9 Court-Appointed Special Master

10 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA**

11 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA**

12	In Re the Marriage of)	
13	MICHELLE LARMORE,)	NO. FC 2023-001520
14	Petitioner,)	SPECIAL MASTER REPORT
15	and)	(09-24-2025)
16	JONATHAN LARMORE,)	
17	Respondent.)	(Assigned to The Hon. Melissa Zabor)
18	_____)	

19
20 Below signed was appointed Special Master (“Master”) in this matter on February
21 16, 2024. Within this capacity, Master was tasked to resolve various issues concerning
22 discovery and disclosure. Those issues were addressed and consolidated by
23 respective counsel, and unresolved issues were presented to Master at a hearing on
24 September 24, 2025.

25
26 Most of the information sought by Petitioner (“Wife”) is appropriately discoverable
27 and probably relevant to the instant proceedings. While the ultimate value of such
28 discovery is unclear, that does not diminish the need for Wife to be able to review such.

1 It is clear that a great number of the documents sought by Wife are somehow
2 interrelated with Respondent's ("Husband") Mother ("Marcia"). While some of the
3 requested documents could possibly be obtained by Wife from other sources, the cost,
4 length of time to do so, and probability of accomplishment greatly outweighs the
5 simplicity that Marcia's cooperation could bring to this action.
6

7 Master believes that Marcia has relevant information and documentation. Marcia
8 may or may not have assets in her possession belonging to the marital community.
9 Master believes that Marcia needs to be a part of the instant litigation as an
10 indispensable party so that an immediate disclosure of documents and information can
11 be obtained. In short, Master believes and recommends that this Court should have
12 direct jurisdiction over Marcia to mandate her compliance with certain discovery
13 directives.
14

15 It is unclear whether joinder in the instant action, or consolidation with a new
16 action, would be more appropriate here. In either case, Master firmly believes that
17 Marcia must be under this Court's jurisdiction so that specific directives and orders can
18 be given to her to comply with certain appropriate aspects of Wife's discovery and
19 disclosure requests.
20

21 DATED this 3rd day of October, 2025.

22
23 Barry L. Brody
24 Barry L. Brody
Special Master

25 ORIGINAL of the foregoing e-filed this
26 3rd day of October, 2025, with:
27 Clerk of the Court
28 MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

AND

COPY of the foregoing sent via e-file through the Court to:


The Honorable Melissa Zabor
MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

AND

COPY of the foregoing sent via e-mail this 3rd day of October, 2025, to:

Gregg Woodnick
Attorney for Petitioner

Mitchell Reichman
Attorney for Respondent



ATTACHMENT B

1 3. For the purpose of this Order, “documents” or “records” shall include written,
2 recorded and graphic matters of every type.

3 4. All depositions, reports, hearing or trial exhibits or other records containing
4 Confidential Information either shall be marked “Confidential” or “Confidential-Attorneys’
5 Eyes Only” on each and every page, or if feasible, shall excise and separately mark as
6 “Confidential” or “Confidential-Attorneys’ Eyes Only” on each and every page the portions
7 thereof containing Confidential Information.

8 5. Any pleading, motion or affidavit containing Confidential Information that is
9 filed after the date of this Order shall be filed as “Confidential” pursuant to Rule 13(e)
10 ARFLP and shall be available from the Court file only to the Court and the parties’
11 respective counsel.

12 6. Any trial or hearing exhibits not previously admitted into evidence in this
13 proceeding and containing Confidential Information shall be designated confidential
14 pursuant to Rule 13(e) ARFLP and shall be available from the Court records only to the
15 Court and the parties’ respective counsel.

16 7. Any trial testimony after the date of this order referring to Confidential
17 Information shall be placed under seal and shall be available from the Court records by ECR
18 or transcript only to the Court and the parties’ respective counsel, and shall be introduced
19 with the Courtroom closed to the public.

20 8. Any Confidential Information shall be used solely for the purpose of the
21 issues before the Court in this proceeding.

22 9. Except with the prior written consent of Marcia Larmore or upon further order
23 of this Court, no Confidential Information shall be disclosed to any person other than:

- 24 a. Counsel for the respective parties to the litigation in this case;
- 25 b. Employees of such counsel, to the extent reasonably necessary to
26 render professional service in the litigation;
- 27
- 28

1 c. Consultants or expert witnesses retained for this matter but only to the
2 extent necessary for the consultant or expert to render his or her
3 services;

4 d. The Court in this case, provided, however, that if any Confidential
5 Information is disclosed to the Court, it must be done in a manner that
6 restricts access to the individuals identified in this Paragraph 9.

7 11. Any person receiving Confidential Information pursuant to ¶ 9(c) shall, prior
8 to and as a condition of receiving such information, be admonished not to reveal or discuss
9 such information to or with any person who is not entitled to receive such information, and
10 shall sign an Acknowledgement of this Confidentiality Order in the form of **Exhibit "A"**,
11 attached hereto. Within three (3) business days of providing Confidential Information to
12 an individual in accordance with this Order, the providing party shall deliver to counsel for
13 the other party, and counsel to Marcia Larmore, notice of the delivery and a copy of the
14 signed Exhibit "A," unless the recipient of the information is a non-testifying consulting
15 expert or a witness, in which case a signed Exhibit A shall be obtained and provided only
16 to counsel for Marcia Larmore.

17 12. All Confidential Information shall remain confidential after the termination
18 of the current proceedings and shall not be disclosed in any manner whatsoever, except as
19 specifically set forth above. If any of the Confidential Information was incorporated in any
20 memorandum, notes or other writings prepared by counsel for a party or any other
21 individuals or entities permitted access to such Confidential Information, such writings shall
22 also remain Confidential and shall ultimately be destroyed in accordance with the document
23 retention policy of the party's counsel or the other person or entity entitled to receive the
24 information.

25 13. If a party's counsel or any other person or entity receiving Confidential
26 Information is requested in any proceeding to disclose any of the Confidential Information
27 (or the nature or content thereof), that party or authorized recipient of the Confidential
28

1 Information shall provide the other party, through counsel, with prompt notice of such
2 request so that the other party may seek an appropriate protective order.

3 14. Any breach or violation of this Confidentiality Order shall entitle the non-
4 breaching party to relief from the breaching/violating party and such relief may include one
5 or more of the following means:

- 6 a. An action for injunctive relief, both preliminary and permanent;
7 b. An action for damages resulting from the breach, including a demand
8 for punitive damages;
9 c. A request that the breaching party be held in civil or criminal contempt
10 of court;
11 d. An order from the court precluding the presentation of any evidence or
12 claims flowing from or based on the breach or violation;
13 e. A claim for all of the non-breaching party's attorney's fees and costs
14 incurred in remedying the breach or violation, whether such fees and
15 costs are incurred independent of or in conjunction with the pursuit of
16 any of the foregoing remedies; and/or
17 f. Any other remedies available in equity or law.

18 15. Maricopa County, Arizona shall be the sole and exclusive jurisdiction for the
19 enforcement of this Confidentiality Order, if the occasion should arise.

20 16. With regard to the Confidential Information provided hereunder, the other
21 owners of the Confidential Information shall be third party beneficiaries of this Order.

22 17. The rights, duties, and obligations of this Order shall survive the use of the
23 Confidential Information by counsel for Husband, counsel for Wife, or any other of the
24 individuals or entities authorized to receive Confidential Information, or any other person
25 to whom Confidential Information is provided for the purposes specified herein, and shall
26 continue to bind Husband, Wife, counsel for Husband, counsel for Wife, or any other person
27
28

1 to whom Confidential Information is provided for the purposes specified herein, until
2 terminated in writing by the parties hereto or vacated by separate cover.

3
4 **DONE IN OPEN COURT** this 9/18/2024.

5
6 *Melissa Zabor*

7 _____
8 THE HONORABLE MELISSA ZABOR
9 MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF TERMS OF CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER

I _____ (name of individual) of _____

(name of business and business address, if applicable) hereby acknowledge that I have read the Confidentiality Order issued by the Court and on file in the dissolution action of **Michelle Larmore and Jonathan Larmore**, Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. FC2023-001520, and have been provided with a copy of that Order.

I agree to be personally bound by all of the terms of said Order as if I were a party to the action and personally served with said Order,

I further acknowledge that under the terms of the Confidentiality Order, I am expressly prohibited from disclosing to any person Confidential Information that has been produced in this action and provided to me, and I am prohibited from using any such confidential documents or information for any purpose, other than as is permitted in the Stipulated Confidentiality Order.

DATED: _____

eSignature Page 1 of 1

Filing ID: 18530829 Case Number: FC2023-001520
Original Filing ID: 18417663

Granted with Modifications



/S/ Melissa Zabor Date: 9/18/2024
Judicial Officer of Superior Court

ENDORSEMENT PAGE

CASE NUMBER: FC2023-001520

SIGNATURE DATE: 9/18/2024

E-FILING ID #: 18530829

FILED DATE: 9/24/2024 8:00:00 AM

GREGG R WOODNICK

MITCHELL REICHMAN

Granted as Submitted

See eSignature page

Clerk of the Superior Court
*** Electronically Filed ***
B. Powell, Deputy
9/24/2024 8:00:00 AM
Filing ID 18555675

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
COUNTY OF MARICOPA

In re the Matter of
MICHELLE LARMORE,

Petitioner,

AND
JONATHAN LARMORE,

Respondent.

Case No. FC2023-001520

**STIPULATED CONFIDENTIALITY
ORDER**

(Assigned to the Hon. Melissa Zabor)

This matter comes before the Court upon the stipulation of the parties; the Court finds that Marcia Larmore has a legitimate need to maintain the confidentiality of records to-be-produced or previously produced because they contain personal and sensitive financial information; the Court finds there are no non-parties or intervenors that need to obtain access to such information or materials; and the Court finds that the only risk to the public health, safety, or financial welfare associated with the materials are those related to Marcia Larmore’s personal financial welfare; Therefore,

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS as follows:

1. This Order shall govern the conduct of Petitioner, MICHELLE LARMORE (“Wife”) and Respondent, JONATHAN LARMORE (“Husband”), their respective counsel in this proceeding and any other individuals designated as recipients of “Confidential

1 Information” in accordance with this Order. This Order shall be binding upon all such
2 individuals.

3 2. “Confidential Information” shall include any documents produced by Marcia
4 Larmore that are marked “Confidential” or “Confidential-Attorneys’ Eyes Only” and any
5 testimony, declarations, pleadings, or other documents that refer to or contain information
6 from any document produced by Marcia Larmore,

7 3. For the purpose of this Order, “documents” or “records” shall include written,
8 recorded and graphic matters of every type.

9 4. All depositions, reports, hearing or trial exhibits or other records containing
10 Confidential Information either shall be marked “Confidential” or “Confidential-Attorneys’
11 Eyes Only” on each and every page, or if feasible, shall excise and separately mark as
12 “Confidential” or “Confidential-Attorneys’ Eyes Only” on each and every page the portions
13 thereof containing Confidential Information.

14 5. Any pleading, motion or affidavit containing Confidential Information that is
15 filed after the date of this Order shall be filed as “Confidential” pursuant to Rule 13(e)
16 ARFLP and shall be available from the Court file only to the Court and the parties’
17 respective counsel.

18 6. Any trial or hearing exhibits not previously admitted into evidence in this
19 proceeding and containing Confidential Information shall be designated confidential
20 pursuant to Rule 13(e) ARFLP and shall be available from the Court records only to the
21 Court and the parties’ respective counsel.

22 7. Any trial testimony after the date of this order referring to Confidential
23 Information shall be placed under seal and shall be available from the Court records by ECR
24 or transcript only to the Court and the parties’ respective counsel, and shall be introduced
25 with the Courtroom closed to the public.

26 8. Any Confidential Information shall be used solely for the purpose of the
27 issues before the Court in this proceeding.

28

1 9. Except with the prior written consent of Marcia Larmore or upon further order
2 of this Court, no Confidential Information shall be disclosed to any person other than:

- 3 a. Counsel for the respective parties to the litigation in this case;
- 4 b. Employees of such counsel, to the extent reasonably necessary to
5 render professional service in the litigation;
- 6 c. Consultants or expert witnesses retained for this matter but only to the
7 extent necessary for the consultant or expert to render his or her
8 services;
- 9 d. The Court in this case, provided, however, that if any Confidential
10 Information is disclosed to the Court, it must be done in a manner that
11 restricts access to the individuals identified in this Paragraph 9.

12 10. Any person receiving Confidential Information pursuant to ¶ 9(c) shall, prior
13 to and as a condition of receiving such information, be admonished not to reveal or discuss
14 such information to or with any person who is not entitled to receive such information, and
15 shall sign an Acknowledgement of this Confidentiality Order in the form of **Exhibit "A"**,
16 attached hereto. Within three (3) business days of providing Confidential Information to
17 an individual in accordance with this Order, the providing party shall deliver to counsel for
18 the other party, and counsel to Marcia Larmore, notice of the delivery and a copy of the
19 signed Exhibit "A," unless the recipient of the information is a non-testifying consulting
20 expert or a witness, in which case a signed Exhibit A shall be obtained and provided only
21 to counsel for Marcia Larmore.

22 11. All Confidential Information shall remain confidential after the termination
23 of the current proceedings and shall not be disclosed in any manner whatsoever, except as
24 specifically set forth above. If any of the Confidential Information was incorporated in any
25 memorandum, notes or other writings prepared by counsel for a party or any other
26 individuals or entities permitted access to such Confidential Information, such writings shall
27 also remain Confidential and shall ultimately be destroyed in accordance with the document
28

1 retention policy of the party's counsel or the other person or entity entitled to receive the
2 information.

3 12. If a party's counsel or any other person or entity receiving Confidential
4 Information is requested in any proceeding to disclose any of the Confidential Information
5 (or the nature or content thereof), that party or authorized recipient of the Confidential
6 Information shall provide the other party, through counsel, with prompt notice of such
7 request so that the other party may seek an appropriate protective order.

8 13. Any breach or violation of this Confidentiality Order shall entitle the non-
9 breaching party to relief from the breaching/violating party and such relief may include one
10 or more of the following means:

- 11 a. An action for injunctive relief, both preliminary and permanent;
- 12 b. An action for damages resulting from the breach, including a demand
13 for punitive damages;
- 14 c. A request that the breaching party be held in civil or criminal contempt
15 of court;
- 16 d. An order from the court precluding the presentation of any evidence or
17 claims flowing from or based on the breach or violation;
- 18 e. A claim for all of the non-breaching party's attorney's fees and costs
19 incurred in remedying the breach or violation, whether such fees and
20 costs are incurred independent of or in conjunction with the pursuit of
21 any of the foregoing remedies; and/or
- 22 f. Any other remedies available in equity or law.

23 14. Maricopa County, Arizona shall be the sole and exclusive jurisdiction for the
24 enforcement of this Confidentiality Order, if the occasion should arise.

25 15. With regard to the Confidential Information provided hereunder, the other
26 owners of the Confidential Information shall be third party beneficiaries of this Order.
27

28

16. The rights, duties, and obligations of this Order shall survive the use of the Confidential Information by counsel for Husband, counsel for Wife, or any other of the individuals or entities authorized to receive Confidential Information, or any other person to whom Confidential Information is provided for the purposes specified herein, and shall continue to bind Husband, Wife, counsel for Husband, counsel for Wife, or any other person to whom Confidential Information is provided for the purposes specified herein, until terminated in writing by the parties hereto or vacated by separate cover.

DONE IN OPEN COURT this _____ day of _____, 2024.

THE HONORABLE MELISSA ZABOR
MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

APPROVED AS TO CONTENT:

DocuSigned by:
Michelle Larmore
Michelle Larmore
Petitioner/Wife

Signed by:
[Signature]
E1F696B5AABC449...
Jonathan Larmore
Respondent/Husband

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

Woodnick Law, PLLC
DocuSigned by:
Gregg Woodnick
Gregg Woodnick
Leslie Satterlee
Attorneys for Petitioner/Wife

Jaburg & Wilk, P.C.
DocuSigned by:
Mitchell Reichman
Mitchell Reichman
Ilya Prokopets
Attorneys for Respondent/Husband

Taft, Stettinius & Hollister, LLP

DocuSigned by:
[Signature]
25B1113277734E3...
Christopher Ryan
Attorneys for Marcia Larmore

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF TERMS OF CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER

I _____ (name of individual) of _____

(name of business and business address, if applicable) hereby acknowledge that I have read the Confidentiality Order issued by the Court and on file in the dissolution action of **Michelle Larmore and Jonathan Larmore**, Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. FC2023-001520, and have been provided with a copy of that Order.

I agree to be personally bound by all of the terms of said Order as if I were a party to the action and personally served with said Order,

I further acknowledge that under the terms of the Confidentiality Order, I am expressly prohibited from disclosing to any person Confidential Information that has been produced in this action and provided to me, and I am prohibited from using any such confidential documents or information for any purpose, other than as is permitted in the Stipulated Confidentiality Order.

DATED: _____

eSignature Page 1 of 1

Filing ID: 18555675 Case Number: FC2023-001520
Original Filing ID: 18534247

Granted as Submitted



/s/ Melissa Zabor Date: 9/23/2024
Judicial Officer of Superior Court

ENDORSEMENT PAGE

CASE NUMBER: FC2023-001520

SIGNATURE DATE: 9/23/2024

E-FILING ID #: 18555675

FILED DATE: 9/24/2024 8:00:00 AM

GREGG R WOODNICK

MITCHELL REICHMAN

Clerk of the Superior Court
*** Electronically Filed ***
09/26/2024 8:00 AM

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

FC 2023-001520

09/23/2024

HONORABLE MELISSA M. ZABOR

CLERK OF THE COURT
B. Powell
Deputy

IN RE THE MATTER OF
MICHELLE LARMORE

GREGG R WOODNICK

AND

JONATHAN LARMORE

MITCHELL REICHMAN

JUDGE ZABOR

MINUTE ENTRY

Upon stipulation of the parties,

IT IS ORDERED vacating the Status Conference set for September 24, 2024 at 8:30 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED scheduling this case for dismissal on **January 17, 2025** without further notice unless prior to said date a proper judgment or decree is entered or filed, a stipulation of dismissal is presented, or a motion to set and certificate of readiness is filed.

All parties representing themselves must keep the Court updated with address changes.
A form may be downloaded at: https://superiorcourt.maricopa.gov/llrc/fc_gn9/